

Kala Vs State Of Tamilnadu Rep By Inspector Of Police, Ccb

Kala Vs State Of Tamilnadu Rep By Inspector Of Police, Ccb

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1607477

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Jun-04-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr Justice R.Vijayakumar

Appeal No. : CRL OP(MD)/7291/2026

Appellant : Kala

Respondent : State Of Tamilnadu Rep By Inspector Of Police, Ccb

Judgement :

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT DATED :
04.06.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR Kala ...
Petitioner/Accused -1 Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu Represented by The Inspector of Police,
(Crime No.30 of 2022) ... 1st Respondent/ Complainant
2. Seethalakshmi ... 2nd Respondent/

Defacto Complainant Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under
Section 528 of BNSS Act, praying this Court to call for the records

relating to the impugned FIR in Crime No.30 of 2022 on the file of the Inspector of Police, City Crime Branch Police Station, Trichy, quash the same in so far as the petitioner herein is concerned. For Petitioner : Mr.B.Muruganandam For R1 : Mr.P.Samuel Gunasingh Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) For R2 : Mr.A.David Oliver

ORDER

The present petition has been filed by A1 in Crime No.30 of 2022, on the file of the first respondent Police seeking to quash the FIR, wherein she is alleged to have committed offences under Sections 417, 419, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC.

2. A perusal of the FIR reveals that the properties that were owned by

one Dharmaraj were said to have been sold by the first accused in conveyance with the other accused persons. In fact, the first accused is the first wife of said Dharmaraj and the second respondent is the second wife of the said Dharmaraj.

3. Pending investigation, the defacto complainant had come to know

that the first wife was not involved at all in the alienating the property. She is neither a party to the document nor an attestor. Therefore, she had compromised the issue with the first accused and filed a memorandum of joint compromise memo, for quashing of FIR as against the first accused.

4. The defacto complainant was present in person before this Court on 28.04.2026 and sought to quash the FIR.

5. In view of the above said facts, the FIR in Crime No.30 of 2022, on the file of the first respondent police is hereby quashed.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. The joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of the order. NCC : Yes/No Index : Yes / No Internet : Yes / No To

1. The Inspector of Police,

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

R.VIJAYAKUMAR,J.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com