

The Commissioner vs Smt K Subhashini D/O. Sri.Kanala Raghavaiah

The Commissioner vs Smt K Subhashini D/O. Sri.Kanala Raghavaiah

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1603832

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Jul-29-2025

Judge : S.Sunil Dutt Yadav,Vijaykumar a.Patil

Appeal No. : WP/147975/2020

Appellant : The Commissioner

Respondent : Smt K Subhashini D/O. Sri.Kanala Raghavaiah

Judgement :

-1- IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, DHARWAD BENCH DATED THIS THE 29TH DAY OF JULY, 2025 PRESENT THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.SUNIL DUTT YADAV AND WRIT PETITION NO. 147975 OF 2020 (S-CAT) BETWEEN:

1. THE COMMISSIONER, NAVODYAY VIDYALAYA SAMITI, B.15, INSTITUTIONAL AREA, SECTOR 62, NOIDA: 201307, DISTRICT GAUTAM BUDH NAGAR (U.P).

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

NAVODAYA VIDYALAYA SAMITI, HYDERABAD REGION, N.L.I.BUILDINGS, NALAGANDLA ROAD, POST AND VILLAGE: GOPANPALLY, RANGAREDDY DISTRICT HYDERABAD-500107,

TELANGANA STATE.

3. THE UNION OF INDIA, R/BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT, (DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION AND LITERACY), GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

Digitally signed by NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI: 110001. CHANDRASHEKAR LAXMAN KATTIMANI PETITIONERS Location: High Court of (BY SHRI M. B. KANAVI, ADVOCATE) Karnataka, Dharwad Bench AND: SMT. K. SUBHASHINI D/O. SRI. KANALA RAGHAVAIAH, AGE: 56 YEARS, PRINCIPAL JNV, JNV QUARTERS, KORLAHALLI, MUNDARGI TALUK, GADAG-582118. RESPONDENT (NOTICE TO RESPONDENT SERVED) THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI BY QUASHING THE ORDER DATED 6TH JANUARY 2020 OF THE HONBLE CAT, BANGALORE IN O.A. NO.170/00584/2019 ON ITS FILE (ANNEXURE-A IN THE W.P) AND ETC. -2-

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER

WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER FOLLOWING: CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.SUNIL DUTT YADAV AND

ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.SUNIL DUTT YADAV) Learned counsel for the petitioners has filed a memo which reads as follows: The advocate for the petitioners humbly submits that, the respondent has attained superannuation and retired from the service and hence the writ petition has become infructuous, Hence, the W.P. may be disposed of as having become infructuous in the interest of justice. In light of the same, petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. (S.SUNIL DUTT YADAV) (VIJAYKUMAR A.PATIL) RKM /CT-AN List No.: 1 SI No.: 1

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com