

Jasbeer vs State of Kerala

Jasbeer vs State of Kerala

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1572859

Court : Kerala Orders

Decided On : Nov-29-2022

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice Bechu Kurian Thomas

Appeal No. : Bail Appl./8985/2022

Appellant : Jasbeer

Respondent : State of Kerala

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BECHU KURIAN THOMAS
TUESDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2022 / 8TH AGRAHAYANA, 1944
CRIME NO.458 OF 2022 OF PANOOR POLICE STATION, KANNUR
PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED 1 TO 4 : 1 JASBEER, AGED 39 YEARS, 2 ASMA,
AGED 66 YEARS, 3 MUHAMMED, AGED 50 YEARS, SHABNAM HOUSE,
CHERNGOTTIL P.O., PUTHUKKODU VIA, RAMANATTUKARA, KOZHIKODE,
PIN - 673 633

4 SEENATH, 5 SEENATH, BY ADVS. C.Y.VINOD KUMAR C.ANILKUMAR
(KALLESSERIL) RESPONDENTS-STATE, INVESTIGATING OFFICER &
DEFACTO COMPLAINANT : 1 STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, PIN - 682 031 2 STATION

HOUSE OFFICER, PANOOR POLICE STATION, PANOOR-PUTHOOR ROAD,
PANUR, KANNUR, PIN - 670 692

3 ROSAMMA JOHNSON, AGED 54 YEARS, W/O JOHNSON, 2/208,
SANJEEPURAM, BAGAYAM, VELLOORE, TAMIL NADU, PIN - 632 002 BY
SMT.M.K. PUSHPALATHA, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR THIS BAIL APPLICATION
HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29.11.2022, THE COURT ON THE
SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

BECHU KURIAN THOMAS, J.

----- B.A.No.8985 of 2022 ----- Dated this
the 29th day of November, 2022

ORDER

This is an application seeking pre-arrest bail filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

2. Petitioners are the accused in Crime No.458 of 2022 of Panoor Police Station, Kannur, alleging offences punishable under Sections 420, 406, 294(b), 448, 427, 506 & 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

3. Smt. M.K.Pushpalatha, the learned Public Prosecutor, upon

instructions submitted that the investigation was completed in the meantime and a final report has been filed alleging offence under Section 448 IPC alone and that too against accused 1 and 2. It was further submitted that petitioners 3 and 4 who were arrayed as accused 3 and 4 in the FIR have been deleted and also that the offence now alleged is a bailable offence. In view of the above, the apprehension of arrest of the petitioners does not subsist. Accordingly, I close this bail application. BECHU KURIAN THOMAS, JUDGE RKM

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com