

M Manjunatha vs State of Karnataka

M Manjunatha vs State of Karnataka

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1571192

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Jun-01-2026

Judge : E.S.Indiresh

Appeal No. : WP/27058/2023

Appellant : M Manjunatha

Respondent : State of Karnataka

Judgement :

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 01ST DAY OF JUNE, 2026 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH WRIT PETITION NO.27058 OF 2023 (KLR-RES) BETWEEN: M. MANJUNATHA S/O LATE MADAPPA, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, OCC.: AGRICULTURE, R/OF MALLANAHOLE, MUDLAMACHIKERE, JAGALURU TALLUK, DAVANAGERE DISTRICT - 577 528. PETITIONER (BY SRI. M.R. HIEMATHAD, ADVOCATE) AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA BY ITS SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, Digitally signed by VIDHANA SOUDHA, CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH BENGALURU - 560 001. COURT OF KARNATAKA

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DAVANAGERE DISTRICT,

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DAVANAGERE SUB-DIVISION,
DAVANAGERE,

4. THE THAHASILDAR JAGALUR TALUK, -2- JAGALURU,
DAVANAGERE - 577 528.

5. MUSHTARY BEGUM W/O SYED BASHEER AHMED, AGED ABOUT
65 YEARS R/AT NO.26, 1ST MAIN, 2ND CROSS, I BLOCK, EAST-
JAYANAGARA, BENGALURU - 560 041.

6. MUSTRY BENGAM

W/O SAIYED BASHIR APPATRA, AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, OCC:
NOT KNOWN, GOVERNMENT P.U. COLLEGE (SOUTH WING) ROOM
NO.1, K.E.B. CIRCLE, JAGALUR TOWN -7, DAVANAGERE DISTRICT.
RESPONDENTS (BY SRI. SPOORTHY HEGDE N., HCGP FOR R1 TO
R4) THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECT THE
RESPONDENT NO.4 TO ENTER THE NAME OF MOTHER OF THE
PETITIONER IN COLUMN NO.12 OF THE RTC IN RESPECT OF
SURVEY NO.48/3 OF MUDLAMACHIKERE VILLAGE BY
CONSIDERING THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PETITIONER
DATED 22ND AUGUST, 2023 VIDE ANNEXURE-H; AND ETC. THIS
PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER: CORAM: HON'BLE MR.
JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH -3-

ORAL ORDER

Sri. Spoorthy Hegde N., learned High Court Government Pleader accepts notice for respondents 1 to 4.

2. In this petition, the petitioner has sought for a

direction to the respondent No.4 to enter the name of mother of the petitioner in Column No.12 of the RTC in respect of the Survey No.48/3 of Mudlamachikere Village in terms of representation dated 22nd August, 2023 (Annexure-H).

3. Heard Sri. M.R. Hiremathad, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri. Spoorthy Hegde N., learned High Court Government Pleader appearing for respondents 1 to 4.

4. Having taken note of the submission made by

learned counsel appearing for the parties and on perusal of the averments made in the writ petition, the same would indicate that, a registered Sale Deed dated 11th January, 2023 (Annexure-E) is said to have been made in respect of the subject land. Therefore, since there is a registered document executed in respect of the subject land, it is open for the petitioner to establish his right over the same in a manner -4- known to law. In that view of the matter, there is no necessity to direct the respondent No.4 to enter the name of mother of the petitioner in the RTC of the subject land. Accordingly, writ petition is dismissed. SD/- (E.S.INDIRESH) JUDGE ARK List No.: 1 Sl No.: 21

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com