

Mr Krishna Reddy vs the State of Karnataka

Mr Krishna Reddy vs the State of Karnataka

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1563607

Court : Karnataka

Decided On : Jun-01-2026

Judge : R. Nataraj

Appeal No. : WP/23403/2025

Appellant : Mr Krishna Reddy

Respondent : The State of Karnataka

Judgement :

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 1ST DAY OF JUNE, 2026 BEFORE THE HON'BLE
MR. JUSTICE R. NATARAJ WRIT PETITION NO. 23403 OF 2025 (LA-
KIADB) BETWEEN:

1. MR. KRISHNA REDDY S/O LATE RAMAIAH AGED ABOUT 82
YEARS RESIDING AT NO.3/2, 1ST STREET S. MEDAHALLI,
BIDARAGUPPE ANEKAL TALUK, BANGALORE KARNATAKA - 562
107

2. PURAVANKARA LTD.

A COMPANY REGISTERED UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT, 1956
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT NO.130/1, ULSOOR ROAD
BANGALORE - 560042 REPRESENTED BY ITS LEGAL EXECUTIVE
MS. SHREYA V K JAIN

Digitally signed

COURT OF KARNATAKA AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE
VIKASA SOUDHA, BENGALURU-560 001 REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY

2. THE KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREA DEVELOPMENT BOARD
NO.14/3, RASTROTHANA BUILDING NEAR RESERVE BANK
NRUPATHUNGA ROAD -2- BENGALURU-560 001 REP. BY ITS
CHAIRMAN

3. THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER KIADB, NO.14/3
RASTROTHANA BUILDING NEAR RESERVE BANK NRUPATHUNGA
ROAD BENGALURU-560 001 REP. BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE
OFFICER RESPONDENTS (BY SRI. ADITYA DIWAKAR, ADDITIONAL
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT NO.1; SRI. H.N.
VASUDEVAN, ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT NOS.2 AND 3) THIS
WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE

CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE PRELIMINARY
NOTIFICATION DATED MARCH 15, 2024 BEARING NO. CI 58 S PQ
2024 INsofar AS IT PERTAINS TO THE SCHEDULE PROPERTY OF
THE PETITIONERS (ANNEXURE-B) AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER

WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER: CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.
NATARAJ

ORAL ORDER

The petitioners have challenged the preliminary notification bearing No.L 58 JP 2024 dated 15.03.2024 issued by the respondent No.1 in respect of the land bearing Sy.No.125/3 of Adigarakallahalli Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District. They have also challenged the final -3- notification bearing No.L 58 JP 2024 dated 02.12.2024 issued by the respondent No.1 in so far as the above property is concerned.

2. When this petition was listed for orders, it was

brought to the notice of this Court that several similarly placed landowners had approached this Court in W.P. No.6708/2025 and connected petitions challenging the very same notifications and a coordinate Bench of this Court in terms of an order dated 08.01.2026, had allowed the writ petitions in part and quashed the orders passed by the respondent No.3 therein under Section 28(3) of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966 (henceforth referred to as 'the Act, 1966') and also the final notifications dated 03.10.2024 and 02.12.2024 issued by the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Board (for short, 'KIADB') under Section 28(4) of the Act, 1966 and directed the Special Land Acquisition Officer of the KIADB to redo the proceedings from the stage of Section 28(3) of the Act, 1966 and take the issue to its logical end. Against the said order passed in W.P. No.33550/2024, a Writ Appeal in W.A. No.657/2026 was filed by the petitioner No.2 herein and -4- another, which was disposed of in terms of a judgment dated 26.03.2026 and the relevant portion of the same reads as follows:

"7. In view of the submission of learned Senior counsel Sri.Shashi Kiran Shetty., nothing survives further to consider the case of the appellants. In that view of the matter, while upholding the judgment of the writ Court, we observe that the SLAO has to consider the objections already filed and the additional objections if any to be filed independently without being influenced by the interpretation of the writ Court on the circulars, in accordance with the law.

8. The parties are at liberty to file additional

objections and cite the judgments in support of their contentions within 10 days from today and the SLAO is directed to consider and pass reasoned order in accordance with law after providing opportunity of personal hearing, as expeditiously as possible within an outer limit of one month thereafter. The parties are directed to appear before the SLAO on 10.04.2026."

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners therefore submits that this petition may also be disposed off on the same lines. -5-

4. The learned counsel for the respondent Nos.2 and 3 has no objection for disposal of the Writ Petition on the aforesaid lines.

5. In that view of the matter, the following order is passed:

ORDER

i. This petition is allowed in part. ii. The final notification bearing No.L 58 JP 2024 dated 02.12.2024, issued by the respondent No.1 in so far as it relates to the land bearing Sy.No.125/3 of Adigarakallahalli Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District, stands quashed and the matter is remitted back to the Special Land Acquisition Officer / respondent No.3, who shall reconsider the objections of the petitioners objectively in accordance with law after hearing them.

-6- iii. It is needless to mention that the petitioners are entitled to raise any additional objections and if such additional objections are raised, the respondent No.3 shall consider the same in accordance with law and dispose off the same as early as possible at any rate within a period of three months from the date of raising the objections or additional objections, if any. Sd/- (R. NATARAJ) JUDGE
SMA List No.: 2 SI No.: 4