

Munishamy vs the State of Karnataka

Munishamy vs the State of Karnataka

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1523433

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Jun-22-2026

Judge : M.G.S. Kamal

Appeal No. : WP/46682/2017

Appellant : Munishamy

Respondent : The State of Karnataka

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, BENGALURU DATED THIS THE 07TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2019 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD WRIT PETITION NO.46682/2017 (GM-RES) Between: Munishamy, S/o Late Muniyappa, Aged about 50 years, R/at Byrathnahalli Village, Tekal Hobli, Malur Taluk, Kolar District - 563101. ... Petitioner (By Sri. Rasheed Khan, Advocate) And

1. The State of Karnataka, Represented by its Secretary, Mujarai Department, M.S Building, Bengaluru - 01.
2. The Deputy Commissioner,
3. The Assistant Commissioner,

4. The Tahsildar, Malur Taluk, Kolar District - 563101. ... Respondents
(By Sri. V. Sreenidhi, AGA) This writ petition is filed under Articles 226
and 227

of the Constitution of India praying to direct the respondent No.4 to order for release of the arrears of salary, re-grant amount and income accrued from the eucalyptus trees grown in the above said property as per the Annexures-G, H, J and etc., This writ petition coming on for Orders this day, the court made the following:-

ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner was granted four weeks time to comply with the office objections on the condition that if office objections are not complied with within the said four weeks, the petition shall stand dismissed.

2. It is seen from the office note that the office objections have not been complied with. Therefore, the petition stands dismissed for default. SD/- JUDGE KA/-

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com