SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com

Narayanappa vs State of Karnataka

Narayanappa vs State of Karnataka

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1517200

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Mar-26-2026

Judge : R Devdas

Appeal No. : WP/3541/2026

Appellant : Narayanappa

Respondent : State of Karnataka

Judgement :

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS WRIT PETITION NO. 3541 OF 2026 (KLR-REG) BETWEEN: NARAYANAPPA S/O VENKATARAMANNA AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS, R/O CHOWDANAHALLI VILLAGE, KASABA HOBLI, SRINIVASPURA TALUK, KOLAR DISTRICT-563132 PETITIONER (BY SRI. G M SRINIVASAREDDY., ADVOCATE) AND:

Digitally signed by SHARADAVANI B 1. STATE OF KARNATAKA Location: High Court of R/BY ITS SECRETARY Karnataka DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, M.S.

BUILDING, DR. AMBEDKAR ROAD, BENGALURU - 560001

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, KOLAR DISTRICT, KOLAR-563101. -2-

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER, KOLAR SUB-DIVISION, KOLAR 563101

4. THE COMMITTEE FOR REGULARIZATION OF UNAUTHORIZED OCCUPATION SRINIVASAPURA TALUK KOLAR DISTRICT-563135 REPRESENTED, TAHASILDAR RESPONDENTS (BY SRI. SHAMANTH NAIK, HCGP) THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO-

DIRECT THE R4 COMMITTEE FOR REGULARIZATION OF UNAUTHORIZED OCCUPATION OF LAND BY THE PETITIONER BEARING SY. NO. 54 TO AN EXTENT OF 1 ACRE AND 3 GUNTAS SITUATED AT CHOWDANAHALLI VILLAGE, SRINIVASAPURA TALUK, KOLAR DISTRICT BY CONSIDERING THE APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER DATED 16.12.1998, AND ETC., THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,

ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

-3- CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned High Court Government Pleader accepts notice for all the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the petitioner that he has

filed an application in Form No.50 on 16.12.1998 seeking regularization of unauthorized occupation of 1 acre and 3 guntas of land in Sy.No.54 of Chowdanahalli Village, Srinivasapra Taluk, Kolar District and the application has not been considered till date. Therefore, the prayer in the petition is to direct the respondents to consider the said application.

3. Having regard to the contentions raised in the

writ petition and submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the considered opinion that the Tahsildar was duty bound to place the application filed by the petitioner before respondent No.4, Committee for Regularization of Unauthorized Occupation and the -4- Committee was also duty bound to consider the application and pass necessary orders.

4. Consequently, the writ petition stands

disposed of with a specific direction to Tahsildar, Arakalagudu Taluk, to verify from the records as to whether such an application filed by the petitioner in the year 1998, is pending consideration. If it is found that such an application was indeed filed by the petitioner and the application is yet to be considered, the Tahsildar is directed to place before respondent No.4-Committee, the application along with his recommendation within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this

order. Thereafter, respondent No.4-Committee shall consider the application and pass necessary orders in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of two months thereafter. Ordered accordingly. -5-

5. Learned High Court Government Pleader is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today. Sd/- (R DEVDAS) JUDGE SSB

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com