

Lekshmi vs Ragul Ravichandran

Lekshmi vs Ragul Ravichandran

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1504205

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Mar-27-2026

Judge : Honourable Mrs.Justice R. Kalaimathi

Appeal No. : TR CMP/1393/2025

Appellant : Lekshmi

Respondent : Ragul Ravichandran

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE R. KALAIMATHI AND CMP NO. 32537 OF 2025 Lekshmi ..Petitioner(s) Vs. Ragul Ravichandran ..Respondent(s) Prayer : This Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Section 24 of C.P.C.To withdraw the case of HMOP No. 3634 of 2025 pending on the file of the IV Additional Principal Family Court at Chennai and transfer the same to the Family Court at Chengalpattu. For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.Rakhi For Respondent(s): Ms.S.Viji

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent.

2. The wife who is arrayed as respondent in H.M.O.P.No.3634 of 2025 on the file of the IV Additional Principal Family Court, Chennai, is the petitioner herein. Page1 of 4

3. The petitioner/wife would aver that she has instituted the Original

Petition in F.C.O.P.No.491 of 2024 for dissolution of marriage which is pending before the Family Court, Chengalpattu. She would further aver that she finds it difficult to travel from Velacherry to Chennai for each and every hearing as she has a three old child and has to take care of her parents. She would also aver that maintaining two separate proceedings from two separate courts is causing her mental and emotional stress.

4. The learned counsel for the respondent would vehemently contend

that it is learnt that the petitioner has shifted her residence from Oragadam to Velacherry and that, at present, she is residing at Velacherry. Therefore, there is no inconvenience for her to attend the hearings before the Family Court, Chennai.

5. Be that as it may, it is learnt that the respondent/husband has

instituted H.M.O.P.No.3634 of 2025 for restitution of conjugal rights under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 which is pending before the IV Additional Principal Family Court, Chennai. The address of the petitioner/wife is stated to be Flat No.1801, Hiranandani Parks, Oragadam 603 204.

6. The principles regarding transfer petitions, more specifically in the matters of matrimonial cases are well settled by the Honble Supreme Court in the following cases:- Page2 of 4

i. In AIR 2000 SC 3512 (1) (Mona Aresh Goel vs. Aresh Satya Goel), when the wife pleaded that she was unable to bear the traveling expenses and even to travel alone and stay at Bombay, the Supreme Court ordered transfer of proceedings. ii. In 2000 (10) SCC 304 (Geeta Heera Vs. Harish Chander Heera), the Honble Supreme Court has held that where the petitioner's wife has pleaded lack of money, the same has to be considered. iii. In Lalita A. Ranga Vs. Ajay Champalal Ranja reported in

(2009) 9 SCC 355 , wherein the wife, who was having small

child and she was finding it difficult to travel from Jaipur to Bombay, and the Honble Apex Court accepting her request ordered the transfer petition in favour of the wife transferring the transfer petition from Family Court at Bandra in Mumbai to the Family Court at Jaipur.

7. On perusal of petition filed in H.M.O.P.No.3634 of 2025, it appears that petitioner/husband is the resident of Ashok Nagar, Chennai and the respondent/wife is resident of Velacherry, Chennai.

8. Under such circumstances, request of the petitioner/wife and the

reasons assigned by her as mentioned supra, appears to be based on justifiable cause and accordingly, this Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is allowed. Sequel to this, H.M.O.P.No.3634 of 2025 pending on the file of the IV Additional Principal Family Court, Chennai and transferred to the file of the Page3 of 4

R.KALAIMATHI, J.

KKD Family Court, Chengalpattu. The Family Court, Chengalpattu on receipt of the records to try and dispose of the matter in accordance with law at the earliest. No Costs. Consequently, connected Civil Miscellaneous Petition is closed. 27-03-2026 Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No KKD To

1. IV Additional Principal Family Court, Chennai.
2. The Family Court, Chengalpattu. Page4 of 4

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com