

Logesh R Vs The Additional Controller

Logesh R Vs The Additional Controller

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1474665

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Jan-27-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice D.Bharatha Chakravarthy

Appeal No. : WP/23556/2021

Appellant : Logesh R

Respondent : The Additional Controller

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY Logesh
R ..Petitioner Vs

2. IIKM Business School, Represented by its Authorized Representative,
IIKM Campus, Bhut Road, West Hill Chungam, Kozhicode- 5.
..Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
seeking for an issuance of mandamus, directing the respondents herein

to in July, 2019 within a time frame that may fixed by this Court and for a further direction to issue graduation certificate immediately and to pass such further or other orders. For Petitioner: Mr.R.Sugumaran For Respondents: Mr.S.Venkatesh for M/s.Ajmal Association for R1 Not Ready in Notice - R2

ORDER

The writ petition is filed for a Mandamus directing the respondents to Page1 of 4 in July, 2019 within a time frame fixed by this Court and for a further direction to issue graduation certificate immediately and to pass such further or other orders.

2. Upon hearing the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, it is

stated that the institution is no longer at the said address and therefore service could not be effected. Further it is not necessary to serve the institution, as the institution has already sent a communication to the first respondent University.

3. Under the circumstances, in view of the nature of order that is passed, the writ petition is disposed of without completion of service to the second respondent.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the

petitioner duly underwent the course and in the list of marks sent by the second respondent institution, instead of entering the petitioners mark as 19 for internal assessment, they had wrongly entered it as zero, it was nothing but a data entry mistake. In spite of this being brought to the notice of the first respondent University, till date no orders have been passed to correct the mistake. The institution itself had sent a communication through email on 02.11.2019 and the same is kept pending. Page2 of 4

5. Since the pleading is that it is only an inadvertent error and the matter is pending with the first respondent, the writ petition is disposed of on the following terms:

(i) The first respondent shall take up the communications sent by the second respondent institution seeking correction of the error on 28.06.2019 as well as on 02.11.2019, etc;

(ii) The first respondent shall verify whether the same is

only a clerical error / an error by oversight and if so, order

correction of the error and issue the necessary certificate to the petitioner;

(iii) If the first respondent comes to the conclusion that

there was no clerical or other error and doubts the case of the petitioner, then an opportunity shall be given to the petitioner to present their views and after hearing the petitioner, orders in accordance with law shall be passed; and

(iv) The above exercise shall be completed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the web copy of the order, without waiting for the certified copy.

(v) There shall be no order as to costs. Neutral Citation: No NSL Page3 of 4

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

nsI To

2. The Authorized Representative, IIKM Business School, IIKM Campus, Bhut Road, West Hill Chungam, Kozhicode- 5. Page4 of 4

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com