

Gobi Vs State Represented by The Inspector of Police

Gobi Vs State Represented by The Inspector of Police

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1471648

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Jun-19-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice C.Kumarappan

Appeal No. : CRL OP/14945/2026

Appellant : Gobi

Respondent : State Represented by The Inspector of Police

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN Gobi ..Petitioner Vs State Represented by The Inspector of Police, Paramathi Police Station, Namakkal District. [Crime No.97 of 2026] ..Respondent PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.97 of 2026 on the file of Paramathi Police Station, Namakkal District. For Petitioner: Mr.L.P. Mounish For Respondent: Ms.R.S.Indira Government Advocate

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 329(4), 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 in Crime No.97 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail. Page1 of 5

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that on 31.05.2026, the petitioner assaulted the de facto complainant with a wooden log and caused injuries following a verbal altercation. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and submitted that there are no adverse antecedents against the petitioner. She further submitted that the injured person was discharged from the hospital on 06.06.2026 and that the second accused (A2) was remanded and subsequently released on bail. However, she opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned Government

Advocate (Criminal Side) that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the co-accused has been released on bail, and noting that there are Page2 of 5 no adverse antecedents against the petitioner, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required at this stage. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event

of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramathi on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties each, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb

impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity; Page3 of 5

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of fifteen days and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the

learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act. 19-06-2026 Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No VEDA To 1.Judicial Magistrate, Paramathi. 2.The Inspector of Police, Paramathi Police Station, Namakkal District. 3.The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court. Page4 of 5

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

VEDA 19-06-2026 Page5 of 5

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com