

Murugan Vs State represented by

Murugan Vs State represented by

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1442723

Court : Chennai Orders

Decided On : Apr-29-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr Justice a.D.Jagadish Chandira

Appeal No. : CRL OP/10948/2026

Appellant : Murugan

Respondent : State represented by

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.04.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA Murugan,
S/o.Perumal ..Petitioner Vs State represented. by The Inspector of
Police, Harur All Women Police Station, (Crime No.03 of 2026)
..Respondent PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section
483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the
petitioner on bail in connection with Crime No.03 of 2026 on the file of
the respondent police. For Petitioner: Mr.S.Sasikumar For Respondent:
Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar Govt Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Petition seeking bail in respect of Crime No.03 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 5(1)(j)(ii) read with Section 6(1) of POCSO Act, 2012, is on board for consideration. Page1 of 4

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, who has been in incarceration since 09.02.2026 and false implication in the case, seeks indulgence of this Court. He further submitted that the petitioner is a senior citizen and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

3. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned Government

Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of bail is that the petitioner committed penetrative sexual assault on a minor girl, who is aged about 14 years. He further submits that though the learned counsel for the petitioner claims that the petitioner is a senior citizen, the offence alleged against the petitioner is not simple but a heinous offence of penetrative sexual assault on a minor victim girl and the investigation also is still pending.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned

Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed for the present. mp
Page2 of 4 To:

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court, Harur.

2. The Inspector of Police, Harur All Women Police Station,

3. The Public Prosecutor High Court of Madras Page3 of 4 A.D.JAGADISH
CHANDIRA J. mp Page4 of 4

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com