

C.Sendhil kumar Vs The Commissioner

C.Sendhil kumar Vs The Commissioner

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1439146

Court : Chennai

Decided On : May-07-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice S. Sounthar

Appeal No. : WP/17350/2026

Appellant : C.Sendhil kumar

Respondent : The Commissioner

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR WP No. 17350 of 2026 and
W.M.P.No.18625 of 2026

1. C.Sendhil Kumar
2. Jaiganesh.P
3. Sheela Suganthi

4. Sanjai Sheth
5. Sanjeev
6. Rajasekaran
7. V.Vaithialinga Raja
8. S.Sudhakaran
9. Ramachandra Babu
10. J Paramasivam
11. Saibudeen
12. Professor Dr.S.Dinakaran
13. R.Anandaramana
14. R.Premkumar
15. P.N.Kamalakannan
16. R.Padmanaban
17. V.Keerthivasan Page1 of 6
18. Vinodh. V
19. N.Sankar ..Petitioners Vs

1. The Commissioner, Ripon Building, Chennai 600 003.

2. The Zonal Officer - Zone VII (Ambattur), Ambattur Zone Office, Tiruvallur High Road, Ambattur, Chennai- 600053.

3. The Member Secretary, Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority, No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road, Egmore, Chennai- 600008.

4. The Councillor -Ward 90, Ambattur Zone-7, Tiruvallur High Road, Ambattur, Chennai- 600053. ...Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus forbearing Respondent Nos.1 and 2 from constructing or permitting any permanent structure in OSR-lands measuring an extent of 1214.53 sq.m in T.S.Nos.113/3, 113/4 and 113/5 and B.No.65 Padikuppam Road, Chennai, Respondent No.3 from granting or regularizing any approval, and Respondent No.4 from supporting or advocating construction in the OSR land of Pace Prana Apartments, and to direct all Respondents to maintain the land strictly as open space.

Page2 of 6 For Petitioners: Mr.G.Ashokapathy for M/s.Pass Associates For Respondents: Mr.E.C.Ramesh, Standing Counsel, (GCC), for R1 and R2 Mr.B.Sanjay Balachandar, Standing Counsel, (CMDA) for R3

ORDER

By consent of both the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as respondents, this writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage itself.

2. The Writ Petition has been filed to issue Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the respondents 1 and 2 from constructing or permitting any permanent structure in OSR Lands measuring an extent of 1214.53 sq.m in T.S.Nos.113/3,

3. Mr.E.C.Ramesh, learned Standing Counsel (GCC) takes notice for the respondents 1 and 2 and Mr.B.Sanjay Balachandar, learned Standing Counsel (CMDA) takes notice for the 3rd respondent. Page3 of 6

4. When the writ petition is taken up for hearing, learned Standing

Counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that the respondents have not given any permission for construction of permanent structure in OSR Lands. The said submission made by the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents is recorded.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected writ miscellaneous petition is closed. 07-05-2026 (2/2) Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No dm/dk Page4 of 6 To

1. The Commissioner, Ripon Building, Chennai 600 003.
2. The Zonal Officer-Zone VII (Ambattur), Ambattur Zone Office, Tiruvallur High Road, Ambattur, Chennai- 600053.
3. The Member Secretary, Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority, No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road, Egmore, Chennai- 600008.
4. The Councillor -Ward 90, Ambattur Zone-7, Tiruvallur High Road, Ambattur, Chennai- 600053. Page5 of 6

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

dm/dk WP No. 17350 of 2026 and W.M.P.No.18625 of 2026 07-05-2026 (2/2)
Page6 of 6

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com