

Amutha Vs Inspector of Police

Amutha Vs Inspector of Police

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1438596

Court : Chennai Orders

Decided On : Apr-30-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr Justice G.K. Ilanthiraiyan

Appeal No. : CRL OP/10095/2026

Appellant : Amutha

Respondent : Inspector of Police

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.04.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Amutha ... Petitioners Vs. The State represented by, The Inspector of Police, (Crime No.25 of 2025). ... Respondent PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in connection with Crime No.25 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent police. For Petitioners : Mr.R.Veeramani For Respondent : Mr.P.Dhilpeean

ORDER

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 417 & 420 of IPC in Crime No.25 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto

complainant are acquainted with each other. The defacto complainant approached the petitioner to obtain a patta; the defacto complainant handed over a copy of the sale agreement to the petitioner, who used it to obtain the patta in the defacto complainants name. Subsequently, the petitioner, forging the defacto complainants signature, mortgaged the property in favour of Canara Bank and obtained a loan of Rs.10 lakhs, thereby cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the

petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submit that the petitioner is ready to abide to any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution

case and submitted that this is the second anticipatory bail petition and there is no change in circumstances. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to

5. Considering the fact that this is the second anticipatory bail and there is no change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Sma To

1. The Inspector of Police,

2. . The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN.,J.

Sma

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com