

M.Ranjithkumar vs the Tahsildar

M.Ranjithkumar vs the Tahsildar

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1437366

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Apr-17-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr Justice Abdul Quddhose

Appeal No. : WP/14362/2026

Appellant : M.Ranjithkumar

Respondent : The Tahsildar

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE M.Ranjithkumar
..Petitioner(s) Vs

1. The Tahsildar
2. Perichi Gounder,
3. G.Thavamani

4. Rajalingam

..Respondent(s) PRAYER - This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to consider and pass orders on the petitioners representation dated 18.11.2025 and 21.02.2026 seeking not to deal with the transfer of issue any fresh patta pertaining to the subject matter of the Patta No.2408 stands in the name of the respondents 2 to 4 here in as Patta No. 3227 till the outcome of the civil court proceedings as law laid down by this court. For Petitioner(s): Mr.G.Jeremiah For Respondent(s): Mr.C.Jayaprakash Government Advocate (For R1) Page1 of 4

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the 1 st respondent not to mutate the revenue records any further in respect of the property morefully disclosed in the affidavit filed in support of this Writ Petition, since the Civil Suit is pending.

2.Admittedly, the Patta Nos.2408 and 3227 stand in the name of the respondents 2 to 4. A Civil Suit is also pending between the petitioner and the respondents 2 to 4. Since the Civil Suit is pending, the petitioner claims that the 1st respondent cannot mutate the revenue records for the subject property any further till the disposal of the Civil Suit. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioners contention.

3.The petitioner had given a representation on 18.11.2025, requesting the official respondents not to mutate the revenue records any further, due to the pendency of the Civil Suit. Since the said representation has not been considered, the petitioner has filed this Writ Petition. 4.Mr.C.Jayaprakash, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the 1st respondent. Since no adverse orders are passed against the respondents 2 to 4 in this writ petition, notice to the respondents 2 to 4 is Page2 of 4

dispensed with. No prejudice would be caused to the respondents if the petitioners representation as stated supra is considered on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the objections of the respondents 2 to 4 as and when any application has been submitted by the respondents 2 to 4 or any other third party pertaining to the subject property seeking for mutation of the revenue records.

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of by directing the 1st respondent to issue notice to the petitioner and hear his objections as and when any application is filed by the respondents 2 to 4, or any other third party, seeking for transfer of Patta Nos.2408 and 3227 and only after hearing the objections of the petitioner, the official respondents shall consider any request for mutation of the revenue records any further, pertaining to Patta Nos.2408 and 3227. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No GSA Page3 of 4

ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

GSA To The Tahsildar Page4 of 4

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com