

DHANASEKARAN CV Vs The Additional Director General

DHANASEKARAN CV Vs The Additional Director General

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1437239

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Apr-15-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice Mohammed Shaffiq

Appeal No. : WP/14025/2026

Appellant : Dhanasekaran CV

Respondent : The Additional Director General

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ XYZ ..Petitioner(s)
Vs ..Respondent(s) PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India praying for a writ of mandamus directing the
Respondent to grant the reward to the petitioner to the tune of 20 percent
on the differential duty amount of Rs. 8,78,67,740/- (Rupees Eight Crores
Seventy Eight Lakhs Sixty Seven Thousand Seven Hundred and Forty
Only) recovered as per the terms of CBIC Circular No.20/2015 dated
31.07.2015. For Petitioner(s): Mr.Saran Prasad For Respondent(s):

ORDER

The present writ petition is filed praying for a writ of Mandamus directing the Respondent to grant the reward to the petitioner to the tune of 20 percent on the differential duty amount of Rs.8,78,67,740/- (Rupees Eight Crores Seventy Eight Lakhs Sixty Seven Thousand Seven Hundred and Forty Only) recovered as per the terms of CBIC Circular No.20/2015 dated 31.07.2015.

2. XYZ informant/ petitioner name not disclosed in view of the

apprehensions expressed by the learned counsel for petitioner. It is submitted by the learned counsel for petitioner that pursuant to the disclosures made by the petitioner, investigation was conducted by the respondent resulting in issuance of show cause notice dated 30.07.2011 culminating in duty demand being raised to the tune of Rs.265,46,81,964/- along with penalty.

3. Petitioner had approached the respondent for grant of interim award in

terms of Circular No.13 of 2015 dated 31.07.2015. There was inaction, aggrieved petitioner registered a complaint dated 19.10.2020 bearing Registration No.CBOEC/E/2020/05002 before the Centralised Public Grievance Redress and Monitoring System Portal. Thereafter, petitioner received system reply dated 16.11.2020, rejecting the petitioner's request for interim reward on the premise that the case has not attained finality. It is submitted that thereafter the importer/ taxable person has remitted certain sums under certain settlement scheme. Petitioner had submitted a fresh representation seeking grant of interim reward in terms of the Circular referred supra, however, the same has not been acted upon. It is under such circumstances, the present writ petition is filed.

4. Mr.Santhanaraman, learned Senior Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents would submit that they would consider and pass appropriate orders within a time period to be fixed by this Court.

5. Petitioner's name is not disclosed in view of certain apprehensions

expressed. The writ petition stands disposed of. The respondents shall consider petitioners request and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No MKA To: MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ J. MKA

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com