

SUSILAMMA Vs the State Rep. by

SUSILAMMA Vs the State Rep. by

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1435978

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Apr-06-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr Justice M.Dhandapani

Appeal No. : WP/12624/2026

Appellant : Susilamma

Respondent : the State Rep. by

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-04-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI WP No. 12624 of 2026 and WMP.No.13779 of 2026

1. Susilamma
2. Sunantha
3. Anitha

4. Santhamma

5. Lakshmidevi

6. Santhamma

7. Divya

8. Muniyamma Petitioner(s) Vs

1. the State Rep. by

Chief Secretary, Secretariat office, St. Cheorge Fort, Chennai-600 009

2.The District collector o/o.District collector, Krishnagiri District. 3.Sub

Collector o/o.Sub Collector, Hosur. 4.Revenue Divisional officer

o/o.Revenue Divisional Hosur. 5.The Tahsildar o/o.Tahsildar

Denkanikottai Taluk, Krishnagiri District. Respondent(s) PRAYER:

Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, directing the

respondents to grant benefit under scheme of Kalaignar Magalir Urimai

thogai pursuant to the petitioners representations dated 16.12.2025,

02.01.2026, 13.01.2026, 28.01.2026, 19.02.2026 and 20.02.2026 For

Petitioner(s): Mr.R.Thirumorthy For Respondent: Mr.J.Ravindran, AAG

Assisted by Mr.A.Selvendran Special Government Pleader

ORDER

By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. WMP.No.13779 of 2026 has been filed to permit the petitioners to file single writ petitions. This petition is ordered on payment of single court fee.

3. This petition has been filed for a direction, directing the respondents to

grant benefit under scheme of Kalaignar Magalir Urimai thogai pursuant to the petitioners representations dated 16.12.2025, 02.01.2026, 13.01.2026, 28.01.2026, 19.02.2026 and 20.02.2026.

4. It is the case of the petitioners that petitioners belong to a family living

below the poverty line and the financial conditions of their family are extreme precarious and uncertain. It is the grievance of the petitioners that though they were fully eligible to benefit under the scheme of Kalaignar Magalir Urimai Thogai Scheme, they were not granted the benefits. Hence, they made several representations on 16.12.2025, 02.01.2026, 13.01.2026, 28.01.2026, 19.02.2026 and 20.02.2026 which are pending without consideration. Hence, this writ petition.

5. The learned Additional Advocate General submitted that the petitioners' representations will be considered within a period of twelve weeks. Accordingly, he prayed for orders.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials placed on record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstance of the case, this Court without

going into the merits of the case directs the respondents to consider the petitioners representations dated 06.12.2025, 02.01.2026, 13.01.2026, 28.01.2026, 19.02.2026 and 20.02.2026 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order.

8. Accordingly, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs. 06-04-2026 dhk
Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes Neutral Citation:Yes/No To 1.The Chief Secretary State Secretariat office, St. Cheorge Fort, Chennai-600 009 2.The District collector o/o.District collector, Krishnagiri District. 3.The Sub Collector o/o.Sub Collector, Hosur. 4.Revenue Divisional officer o/o.Revenue Divisional Hosur. 5.The Tahsildar o/o.Tahsildar Denkanikottai Taluk, Krishnagiri District. M.DHANDAPANI J. dhk 06-04-2026