

A.Prakesh Vs The State Commissioner for Differently Abled

A.Prakesh Vs The State Commissioner for Differently Abled

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1431810

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Mar-25-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr Justice Abdul Quddhose

Appeal No. : WP/10454/2026

Appellant : A.Prakesh

Respondent : The State Commissioner for Differently Abled

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE A.Prakash, S/o. Arumugam, No.353, Sudandira Nagar, Moors Road, Thousand Lights, ..Petitioner Chennai 600 006. Vs

1. The State Commissioner for Differently Abled o/o.State Commissioner for Differently Abled, Chennai-600 003. ..Respondents

PRAYER - This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 3rd respondent to

consider (or) Page1 of 4 dispose of the petitioners representation dated 19.01.2026, to issue licence to the petitioner for running and Bunk Shop at any permitted nearby place of 100 feet Road Tharamani, Chennai. For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.Anbu For Respondent(s): MR.M.Shajahan, Special Government Pleader (For R1 & R2) Mr.E.C.Ramesh, Senior Counsel (For R3)

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the 3rd respondent to issue licence to the petitioner for running a Bunk Shop at any place in 100 feet road, Taramani, Chennai, based on the petitioners representation dated 19.01.2026, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

2.The petitioner claims that he is a physically challenged person. He seeks for grant of license to run a Bunk Shop in any permitted place in 100 feet road, Taramani, Chennai. The petitioner had given a representation on 19.01.2026, for the aforesaid purpose to the 3rd respondent. Since the same has not been considered, the petitioner has filed this Writ Petition.

3.Mr.M.Shajahan, learned Special Government Pleader, accepts notice for the respondents 1 and 2, Mr.E.C.Ramesh, learned Senior Counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the 3rd respondent. Page2 of 4 4.No prejudice would be caused to the respondents if the petitioners representation, as stated supra, is considered on merits and in accordance with law, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioners representation.

5.For the foregoing reasons, this Writ Petition is disposed of by directing the 3rd respondent to pass final orders on merits and in accordance with law on the petitioners representation dated 19.01.2026, seeking for license in his favour to run a Bunk Shop at any permitted place in 100 feet road, Taramani, Chennai, within a period of twelve weeks from the

date of receipt of a copy of this order. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Index: Yes/No (2/2)

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No GSA Page3 of 4

ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

GSA To

1. The State Commissioner for Differently Abled o/o.State Commissioner for Differently Abled, Chennai-600 003. (2/2) Page4 of 4

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com