

SenthilKumar Vs State rep. by its

SenthilKumar Vs State rep. by its

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1430469

Court : Chennai Orders

Decided On : Mar-30-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice C.Kumarappan

Appeal No. : CRL OP/5764/2026

Appellant : SenthilKumar

Respondent : State rep. by its

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN SenthilKumar
..Petitioner Vs State rep. by its The Inspector of Police, CBCID -
Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District. Crime No.1 of 2026 ..Respondent Prayer:
Criminal Original Petition filed under section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the
Petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.1 of 2026, on the
file of the respondent. For Petitioner: Mr.Camyles Gandhi W For
Respondent: Mr.P.Dhileepan Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 120(B), 170, 419, 420, 468 and 471 of IPC corresponding under section 61, 204, 319(2), 318, 336, 340 of BNS in Crime No.1 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has illegally exonerated money by giving fake RBI documents and cheated the de facto Page1 of 3 complainant. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has got one previous case. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the seriousness of the allegation and the registration of the First Information Report on 28.01.2026 and considering the fact that the petitioner has got one previous case of extortion, this Court is not inclined to enlarge him on anticipatory bail.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. SHL To:

1. The Inspector of Police, CBCID - Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District.

2. The Public Prosecutor High Court of Madras Page2 of 3 C.KUMARAPPAN J.
SHL Page3 of 3