

Ganeshkumar Alias Dhaneshkumar Vs State represented by

Ganeshkumar Alias Dhaneshkumar Vs State represented by

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1419395

Court : Chennai Orders

Decided On : Jan-30-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice K.Rajasekar

Appeal No. : CRL OP/2102/2026

Appellant : Ganeshkumar Alias Dhaneshkumar

Respondent : State represented by

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

1. Ganeshkumar Alias Dhaneshkumar S/o.Balu, No.95, Ramakrishnapuram, Naga Thopu, Vedaranyam Tk, Vedaranyam, Nagapattinam - 614 810. Petitioner(s) Vs

1. State represented by Respondent(s) PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioner/Accused No.1 on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.12 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, and pass such further or

other orders as this Honble Court. For Petitioner(s): Gokulakrishnan T For Respondent(s): M/S.J.R.Archana Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.12 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner demanded money from the complainant to purchase liquor. When the complainant did not give money, the petitioner attacked him with a broken bottle, causing injuries. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is ranked as A1 in this case and was also injured in the alleged occurrence. He further submitted that the petitioner is also taking treatment and he is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender and is already involved in six previous cases, out of which four cases are under 307 IPC. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact that the petitioner is a habitual offender and in this case he attacked the de facto complainant with the broken bottle, if released on bail, he may indulge in similar offences, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Mpa Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes Neutral Citation:Yes/No To 1.State represented by 2.The Public
Prosecutor, High Court of Madras. K.RAJASEKAR J. mpa CRL OP No. 2102 of

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com