

J.Arjunan Vs State Rep. by

J.Arjunan Vs State Rep. by

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1419355

Court : Chennai Orders

Decided On : Jan-30-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice K.Rajasekar

Appeal No. : CRL OP/2023/2026

Appellant : J.Arjunan

Respondent : State Rep. by

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR CRL OP No.2023 of 2026 J.Arjunan S/o.Jagadeesan ... Petitioner/ Accused Vs The State Rep. By, The Inspector of Police, (Crime No.10 of 2026) ... Respondent/Complainant PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.10 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police. For Petitioner : Mr.I.Javid Akbar For Respondent : M/s.J.R.Archana

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS Act, 2023, and r/w 21(1) Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.10 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against this petitioner is that, the petitioner

joined hands with the other accused and involved in illegal quarrying and transportation of two units of river sand taken from Tiruvaikara River, Villupuram District, using lorry and hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that

the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and has not involved in any of the offence as alleged and is ready to co-operate with the investigation and also ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for

the respondent while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner along with the co-accused illegally transported the two units of river sand.

5. Considering the submissions made on both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, gravity of

offence, nature and quantity involved in this case and considering the fact that huge quantity of the river sand has been transported by the petitioner, and cause damage to river bed and ecology, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed. ssa To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Vanur.

2. The Inspector of Police, (Crime No.10 of 2026)

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

ssa Crl.O.P. No.2023 of 2026

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com