

Shanmugam vs Ravi

Shanmugam vs Ravi

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1414289

Court : Chennai Orders

Decided On : Jan-29-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr Justice a.D.Jagadish Chandira

Appeal No. : CRL OP/1757/2026

Appellant : Shanmugam

Respondent : RAVI

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.01.2026

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA and
Shanmugam ...Petitioner Vs. Ravi ...Respondent Criminal Original
Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya

Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita/Section 482 of Cr.P.C., to set aside the order

passed by the Principal District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu in Criminal Revision Petition No.19 of 2024 dated 11.11.2025 in order passed by Court of

Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madurantakam in Crl.MP.No.2468 of 2023 in CC.No38 of 2022, order dated 13.06.2023. For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jaikumar

ORDER

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking to quash the

order dated 11.11.2025 passed by the learned Principal District and Sessions

Judge, Chengalpattu in Criminal Revision Petition No.19 of 2024.

2. The brief facts of the case are as follows:-

2.1. The petitioner is an accused facing trial for the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madurantakam in CC.No.38 of 2022, on the basis of the private complaint lodged by the respondent/complainant. The petitioner herein filed a petition under Section 91 of Cr.P.C. in Crl.MP.No.2468 of 2023, seeking to direct the respondent/complainant to produce the ledger in respect of the Finance Company, Accounts Register, Debt receipt Challan and license for running the finance company. However, the trial court, vide

order dated 13.06.2023, dismissed the said petition.

2.2. Challenging the same, a revision was preferred by the petitioner/accused before the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu in Criminal Revision No.19 of 2024. The learned Judge, finding that there is no infirmity in the dismissal order passed by the trial court, dismissed the said revision, vide order dated 11.11.2025. Aggrieved by the same, the present petition has been filed.

3. It is well settled that a revision petition ought to be preferred

before the Sessions Court under Section 397 of Cr.P.C. Once the revision is dismissed, a subsequent petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., before the High Court challenging the very same order would, in substance, amount to a second revision, which is specifically barred under Section 397(3) of Cr.P.C. The inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. cannot be invoked to circumvent such a statutory bar. However, no doubt, in certain exceptional circumstances, this Court can entertain a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

4. But, in the present case, no such exceptional circumstance has

been made out warranting interference under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Therefore, the present petition, being in the nature of a second revision, is not maintainable and is liable to be dismissed.

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed. skt Neutral Citation: Yes/No To

1. The Principal District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu.

2. The Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madurantakam.

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

skt and

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com