

T.N.Janarthanan Vs B.Arulkumar and another

T.N.Janarthanan Vs B.Arulkumar and another

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1414193

Court : Chennai Orders

Decided On : Jan-30-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice K.Kumaresh Babu

Appeal No. : CONT P/285/2025

Appellant : T.N.Janarthanan

Respondent : B.Arulkumar and another

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU T.N.Janarthanan No.
48, K. C. Garden, 4th Street, Peravallur, Chennai 600 082, Tamil Nadu,
India ..Petitioner(s) Vs

1. B.Arulkumar Trading as Namma Veetu Kalyanam Catering, No.95,
Poona Palayam, Tripicane, Chennai 600 005.

2. A.Hariharan Managing Director of Namma Veetu Kalyanam Catering, No.9/5, Poona Palayam, Triplicane, Chennai - 600 005. ..Contemnor(s)

Prayer: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, praying to punish the Respondents herein for wilful and deliberate disobedience of the Order of this Honble Court dated 18/10/2024 in OA.No.762 of 2024 in C.S.(Comm.Div)No.195 of 2024.
Page1 of 3 For Petitioner(s): M/s.V.Santhiya For M/s.Mission Legal, Ramesh Ganapathy

ORDER

The present contempt petition has been filed to punish the Respondents herein for wilful and deliberate disobedience of the Order of this Honble Court dated 18/10/2024 in OA.No.762 of 2024 in C.S.(Comm.Div)No.195 of 2024.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner reported that the suit itself had been disposed of by recording a joint compromise between the parties.

3. Since the parties have compromised the lis between themselves, there is no necessity to further adjudicate upon this contempt petition.

4. Recording the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this contempt petition stands closed. No costs. Index: Yes/No Neutral Citation: Yes/No
kak Page2 of 3

K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

kak Page3 of 3

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com