

The Manager vs the State of Kerala

The Manager vs the State of Kerala

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1385913

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Feb-01-2023

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice P.V.Kunhikrishnan

Appeal No. : WP(C)/14788/2016

Appellant : The Manager

Respondent : The State of Kerala

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN
WEDNESDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF FEBRUARY 2023 / 12TH MAGHA,
1944 WP(C) NO. 14788 OF 2016 PETITIONER/S: THE MANAGER
AGED 59 YEARS SASTHA A.L.P. SCHOOL, PO.
PUDUPPALLY,TIRUR, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT BY ADVS.
SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED SMT.P.A.JENZIA RESPONDENT/S: 1 THE
STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO
GOVERNMENTGENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIATTHIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001 2 THE DIRECTOR
OF PYUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS JAGATHY, THIRUVANTHAPURAM-

695014 3 THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER TIRUR,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT-676101 OTHER PRESENT:
SRI.K.M.FAISAL, GP THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP
FOR ADMISSION ON 01.02.2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: -2-

P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN, J.

===== W.P.(C)
No. 14788 of 2016
=====

Dated this the 1st day of February, 2023

JUDGMENT

The above writ petition is filed with following prayers: (i) issue a writ of mandamus or other appropriate writ sanction upto Vth Standard in the Petitioner School from the academic year 2015-2016 onwards.

(ii) issue a writ of mandamus or other appropriate writ effectively consider and pass appropriate orders upon Exhibit P-1 after affording an opportunity of being heard to the Petitioner within a time limit

(iii) pass such other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper to grant in the circumstances of the case. (sic)

2. The main prayer in this writ petition is to issue

appropriate direction commanding the 1st respondent to sanction upto Vth standard in the petitioner school from the academic year 2015-16 onwards. This writ petition is pending before this Court from 2016 onwards. No interim order is passed in this case. -3- Probably, the prayers in this writ petition might have been infructuous. If there is any surviving grievance to the petitioner, the petitioner is free to approach the 1st respondent with appropriate representation and if any such representation is received, the 1st respondent will do the needful, in accordance to law. With the above observation, this writ petition is closed. sd/-

P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN JUDGE das -4- APPENDIX OF WP(C) 14788/2016
PETITIONER EXHIBITS P1 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR
UPGRADATION OF PETITIONER'S SCHOOL DT 5/4/16 P2 TRUE COPY OF
THE JUDGMENT IN WPC NO. 25623/11 DT. 11/5/14

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com