

Ajikhhan vs State of Kerala

Ajikhhan vs State of Kerala

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1370129

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Aug-22-2023

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice Devan Ramachandran

Appeal No. : WP(C)/20804/2023

Appellant : Ajikhhan

Respondent : State of Kerala

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 / 31ST SRAVANA, 1945 WP(C) NO. 15015 OF 2023 PETITIONER: SANJEESH S.S AGED 46 YEARS S/O SURENDRAN K, RESIDING AT T.C 32/683 (2), PRAYAGA RLRA 209 (C) RADHAKRISHNA LANE, VAZHAYILA, PEROORKADA P O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695005 BY ADVS. THOMAS ABRAHAM MERCIAMMA MATHEW ASWIN.P.JOHN R.ANANTHAPADMANABAN PAUL BABY RESPONDENTS:

1 STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001. 2 SECRETARY, HOME
DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 3 SECRETARY, LAW
DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION) GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 4
DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION DIRECTORATE OF
PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, KOCHI, PIN - 681018 5 DISTRICT
COLLECTOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL
STATION BUILDING, CIVIL STATION ROAD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695043 6 DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM VANCHIYOOR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695035 BY ADVS. SHRI.N.MANOJ
KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY() K.R.RANJITH, GOVERNMENT
PLEADER WITH STATE ATTORNEY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 22.08.2023, ALONG WITH WP(C).15046/2023, 15644/2023 AND
CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN
RAMACHANDRAN TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 /
31ST SRAVANA, 1945 WP(C) NO. 15046 OF 2023 PETITIONER:
SALIMSHA U AGED 53 YEARS S/O UMMAR PILLAI, RESIDING AT
BHAKTI VILASOM, KADAKOM P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN -
695304 BY ADVS. THOMAS ABRAHAM MERCIAMMA MATHEW
ASWIN.P.JOHN R.ANANTHAPADMANABAN PAUL BABY
RESPONDENTS:

1 STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 2 SECRETARY, HOME
DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 3 SECRETARY, LAW
DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION) GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 4
DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION DIRECTORATE OF
PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, KOCHI, PIN - 681018 5 DISTRICT
COLLECTOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL
STATION BUILDING, CIVIL STATION ROAD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695043 6 DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

VANCHIYOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695035 BY ADVS.
SHRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY THIS WRIT PETITION
(CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22.08.2023, ALONG
WITH WP(C).15015/2023 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN
RAMACHANDRAN TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 /
31ST SRAVANA, 1945 WP(C) NO. 15644 OF 2023 PETITIONER:
THULASIKUMAR.R AGED 50 YEARS S/O. RAMAYYAPILLAI,
POOMANGALAM, PERUMKOOR, KONCHIRA P.O,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695615 BY ADVS. M.R.SARIN
LEKSHMI S.R PARVATHI KRISHNA RESPONDENTS:

1 STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 2 SECRETARY HOME
DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 3 SECRETARY LAW
DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION), GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 4
DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION DIRECTORATE OF
PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, KOCHI, PIN - 681018 5 DISTRICT
COLLECTOR THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL
STATION BUILDING, CIVIL STATION ROAD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695043 6 THE DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, VANCHIYOOR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN -

BY ADVS. SHRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY THIS WRIT
PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
22.08.2023, ALONG WITH WP(C).15015/2023 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN
RAMACHANDRAN TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 /
31ST SRAVANA, 1945 WP(C) NO. 15775 OF 2023 PETITIONERS:

1 S.M. RAFEEK AGED 54 YEARS S/O SAINULABDEEN, RAFEEK
MANZIL, PUTHUSSERI MUKKU P.O, KARAVARAM VILLAGE,
CHIRAYINKEEZHU TALUK, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT,, PIN
- 695605 2 KANIRAJ AGED 49 YEARS S/O P.RAJENDRAN,
KARTHIKA, PEDIKULAM, PULIMATH P.O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
DISTRICT, PIN - 695612 3 NISSAM. A AGED 47 YEARS S/O
ABOBACKER KUNJU, T.K HOUSE, CHANNANKARA P.O,
KANIYAPURAM VIA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - BY
ADVS. K.SIJU S.ABHILASH ANJANA KANNATH

RESPONDENTS: 1 STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,, PIN - 695001 2 THE SECRETARY HOME DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 3 THE SECRETARY

LAW DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION), GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 4 DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, KOCHI, PIN - 681018 5 DISTRICT COLLECTOR THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL STATION BUILDING, CIVIL STATION ROAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695043 6 DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, VANCHIYOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - BY ADVS. SHRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22.08.2023, ALONG WITH WP(C).15015/2023 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 / 31ST SRAVANA, 1945 WP(C) NO. 19544 OF 2023 PETITIONER: PRATHEESH MOHAN, ADVOCATE AGED 34 YEARS S/O. MOHANAN, SOBHA NIVAS, SREEKRISHNAPURAM, POWDIKONAM P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,, PIN - 695588 BY ADVS. MATHEW KURIAKOSE K.R.ARUN T.G.SUNIL (PERUMBAVOOR) J.KRISHNAKUMAR (ADOOR) C.N.PRAKASH MONI GEORGE SHAJI P.K. RESPONDENTS:

1 STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN -
695001 2 PRINCIPAL SECRETARY HOME DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 3 SECRETARY, LAW
DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION) GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN -
695001 4 DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION DIRECTORATE
OF PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, ERNAKULAM, KOCHI, PIN - 682018 5
DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, DISTRICT COURT COMPLEX,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695035 6 DISTRICT COLLECTOR
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL STATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695043 BY ADVS. SHRI.N.MANOJ
KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING
COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22.08.2023, ALONG WITH
WP(C).15015/2023 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE
SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN
RAMACHANDRAN TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST 2023 /
31ST SRAVANA, 1945 WP(C) NO. 20804 OF 2023 PETITIONER:
AJIKHAN AGED 48 YEARS S/O. A. MEERA SAHIB, RESIDING AT M.S
MAHAL, KARAKULAM PLAM JUNCTION, KARAKULAM P.O,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - BY ADVS. MAJIDA.S MUHAMMED
SUHAIL K.H. RESPONDENTS:

1 STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 2 SECRETARY HOME
DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 3 SECRETARY LAW
DEPARTMENT (INSPECTION), GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001 4
DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROSECUTION DIRECTORATE OF
PROSECUTION, KOMBARA, KOCHI, PIN - 682018 5 DISTRICT
COLLECTOR THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, 2ND FLOOR, CIVIL
STATION BUILDING, CIVIL STATION ROAD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695043 6 DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, VANCHIYOOR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN -

BY ADVS. SHRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, STATE ATTORNEY THIS WRIT
PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
22.08.2023, ALONG WITH WP(C).15015/2023 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING: C.R.

JUDGMENT

The petitioners in these cases applied to be appointed as Additional Government Pleaders and Additional Public Prosecutors in the district of Thiruvananthapuram; and it is conceded that a list of such persons were drawn up by the District Collector and placed before the learned District Judge, Thiruvananthapuram in terms of the directions of this Court in Aju Mathew and others vs. State of Kerala represented by its Secretary, Thiruvananthapuram and others [2018(5) KHC 770].

2. The petitioners project their grievance

that, even though the learned District Judge is stated to have made an assessment of all the persons in the list provided to him by the District Collector, they were excluded from the Final Panel prepared in terms of Aju Mathew (supra), but without assigning any reason whatsoever. They assert that they are all experienced lawyers, with sufficient period of practice; and therefore, that they could not have been found incapable of being recommended by the learned District Judge, as has been done in these cases. They thus pray that the Panel

now prepared by the District Collector - in which their names have been included, with the remark that they are not recommended by the learned District Judge - be set aside; and the Government of Kerala be directed to consider them also for appointment to the post in question.

3. Sri.Thomas Abraham - learned counsel for

the petitioners in WP(C)No.15015 of 2023 and Mathew (supra), a learned Judge of this Court considered the law again, to issue judgment in WP(C)No.31269/2022 and connected matters - a copy of which is on recorded as Ext.P4 along with WP(C)No.15015/2023. He pointed out that, in paragraph nine of the afore said judgment, the learned Judge has held that the word specific grounds mentioned in Rule 8(2)(c) of the Kerala Government Law Officer (appointment ad conditions of service) and Conduct of Case Rules, 1978 (KGLO Rules for short hereinafter) assumes great relevance as it indicates that rejection of names can be only for valid reasons. He argued out that, therefore, when his clients have been found not deserving of being recommended by the learned District Judge, but without being recorded or told as to why this has been so held, it amounts to violation of the declarations of law by this Court in Aju Mathew (supra), as also, Ext.P4 in WP(C)No.15015 of 2023. He thus prayed that the Government be directed to consider his clients also for appointment to the posts in question, dehors the remarks recorded against them in the Panel now prepared by the District Judge.

4. Smt.S.Majida - learned counsel for the

petitioner in WP(C)No.20804 of 2023; Sri.Siju Kamalasanan - learned counsel for the petitioners in WP(C)No.15775 of 2023; Smt.Mathew Kuriakose - learned counsel for the petitioners in WP(C)No.19544/2023 and Sri.Sarin Panickar - learned counsel for the WP(C)NO.15644/2023, adopted the afore submissions of Sri.Thomas Abraham, arguing that, when their clients have been refused to be recommended by the learned District Judge, but without assigning any reason, it amounts to a stigma to their future professional competence; and hence that they are also now eligible to be considered for appointment by the Government from the Panel prepared by the District Collector, notwithstanding the remarks of the learned District Judge.

5. The submissions of the petitioner being

recorded as afore, it is without doubt - as is admitted by both sides, that the appointment of persons to the posts in question is wholly covered by the decision of the learned Division Bench of this Court in Aju Mathew (supra). It is also without contest that, as per the said judgment, the District Collector is to compile the list of all the applicants and forward it to the learned District Judge, with all inputs and information as stipulated statutorily, based on which the learned District Judge is to arrive at his conclusion as to the competence and suitability of such candidates. The District Collector is to then prepare a Panel of candidates, who have been so recommended by the learned District Judge.

6. No doubt, Rule 8 of the KGLO Rules

mandate that the learned District Judge can disapprove a particular name only for specified grounds. It is without contest that none of the petitioners in these cases have been informed why they have been found not eligible for being recommended by the learned District Judge and there is no input on record to indicate the reasons in any manner whatsoever.

7. I, therefore, asked the learned State

Attorney - Sri.N.Manoj Kumar, has to if there are any input available with the District Collector as to why the petitioners were found not deserving of being recommended by the learned District Judge, to which, his answer was to the negative. He explained that, as far as the District Collector is concerned, he can only prepare a Panel from out of the names recommended by the learned District Judge and no more; and that he will have to then forwarded it to the Government, for appointments to be made from it finally. He submitted that, therefore, the Official respondents will abide by any directions to be issued by this Court in this writ petition.

8. As I have already indited above, no

independent power is vested with the District Collector in the preparation of either the List of candidates who have applied; or the Final Panel of such persons. As far

as the said officer is concerned, he compiles the list of candidates and sends it to the learned District Judge with all necessary inputs as statutorily required; and then, based on the recommendations of the said Authority, he is to then prepare a Final Panel and forward it to the Government, from which, the appointments are made.

9. Therefore, what is crucial is the opinion of the learned District Judge with respect to the competence and suitability of the applicants; and here, certainly, the observations in Ext.P4

judgment - produced along with WP(C)No.15015/2023

- assumes some importance. The conclusion therein indubitable to the effect is that the word 'specific grounds'; relating to the rejection of a candidate by the learned District Judge, assumes great importance because such rejection/recording of disapproval, can be done only for valid and specified cause. Since the records to these writ petitions reveals no such having been intimated to the petitioners, I am certainly of the view that their cases will require to be reconsidered by the learned District Judge, leading to the revision of the Panel, now prepared by the District Collector, in terms of law, if it becomes so warranted. In the afore circumstances, I allow these writ petitions and set aside the observations of learned District Judge as regards the petitioners herein; with a consequential direction to the said Authority to reconsider their credentials, based on the inputs to be placed before him by the District Collector, as per the mandate in Aju Mathew (supra). The learned District Judge shall reconsider the competence of the petitioners, following the procedure as is legally mandated; and will thereupon take a final decision, recording specific grounds if they or any of them are found to be not deserving of being included in the Final Panel. The decision of the learned District Judge shall be specifically recorded in the resultant proceedings, to be then forwarded to the District Collector for the preparation of the Final Panel, to be then placed before the Government for appointment. The afore exercise shall be completed by the learned District Judge as expeditiously as is possible, but not later than two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment; consequent to which, the District Collector will, depending upon the

decision to be taken by the said Authority, revise the Panel, if so warranted and place it before the Government for final action. Sd/- DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN
JUDGE SAS APPENDIX OF WP(C) 15046/2023 PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:
EXHIBIT P1 THE TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE NO.DCTVM/9106/2022-C-3
DATED 22.10.2022 Exhibit2 THE TRUE COPY OF THE PANEL FOR THE POST
OF

ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADERS AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
PROSECUTORS IN VARIOUS COURTS IN ATTINGAL AND
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED 28-3- 2023 OBTAINED BY THE PETITIONER
THROUGH RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT EXHIBIT P3 THE TRUE COPY OF
THE JUDGMENT DATED 11/11/2022 IN W.P.(C) NO.31269 OF 2022 APPENDIX
OF WP(C) 15644/2023 PETITIONERS EXHIBITS: Exhibit P1 THE TRUE COPY
OF THE NOTICE PUBLISHED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM FOR THE ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER
AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTORS IN THE DISTRICT OF
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED 22.10.2022 Exhibit P2 THE TRUE COPY OF
E-MAIL SEND BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM TO
THE PETITIONER, SAID COMMUNICATION NO DCTVM/9106/2022 DATED
22.02.2023 Exhibit P3 THE TRUE COPY OF PANEL FOR THE POST OF
ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADERS AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
PROSECUTORS IN VARIOUS COURTS IN ATTINGAL AND
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT

Exhibit P4 THE TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 11/11/2022 IN WP (C)
NO 31269 OF 2022 APPENDIX OF WP(C) 15775/2023 PETITIONERS
EXHIBITS: Exhibit P1 THE TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 22.10.2022
Exhibit P2 THE TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION NO.DCTVM/9106/2022
DATED 22.02.2023 Exhibit P3 THE TRUE COPY OF PANEL FOR THE POST OF

ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADERS AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
PROSECUTORS IN VARIOUS COURTS IN ATTINGAL AND
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED ACT BY ANOTHER CANDIDATE ON
29.04.2023

Exhibit P4 THE TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 11/11/2022 IN W.P.(C) NO.31269 OF 2022 APPENDIX OF WP(C) 19544/2023 PETITIONERS EXHIBITS: Exhibit P 1 A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE NO. DCTVM/9106/2022-C3 DATED 22.10.2022 PUBLISHED BY THE 6TH RESPONDENT, DISTRICT COLLECTOR Exhibit P 2 TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION NO. DCTVM/9106/2022-C3 DATED 22/02/2023 ISSUED BY THE BY THE 6TH RESPONDENT, DISTRICT COLLECTOR Exhibit P 3 TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 02.03.2023 ISSUED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 6TH RESPONDENT WITH DETAILS OF THE JUDGMENTS Exhibit P 4 TRUE COPY OF THE PANEL OF ADVOCATES FOR THE

POST OF ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADERS AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTORS IN VARIOUS COURTS IN ATTINGAL, NEDUMANGADU AND THIRUVANANTHAPURAM AND PUBLISHED BY THE 6TH RESPONDENT APPENDIX OF WP(C) 20804/2023 PETITIONERS EXHIBITS: Exhibit P1 TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 22.10.2022 PUBLISHED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR Exhibit P2 TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION NUMBER DCTVM/9106/2022 DATED 22.02.2022 INTIMATED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR TO THE PETITIONER THROUGH EMAIL Exhibit P3 TRUE COPY OF THE PANEL FOR THE POST OF ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER AND POST OF ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR IN VARIOUS COURTS IN TRIVANDRUM AND ATTINGAL DATED 28.03.2023 OBTAINED BY ONE OF THE CANDIDATE THROUGH RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT. APPENDIX OF WP(C) 15015/2023 PETITIONERS EXHIBITS: EXHIBIT P1 THE TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE NO.DCTVM/9106/2022-C3 DATED 22.10.2022 EXHIBIT P2 THE TRUE COPY OF THE SAID COMMUNICATION NO.DCTVM/9106/2022 DATED 22.02.2023 EXHIBIT P3 THE TRUE COPY OF PANEL FOR THE POST OF ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT PLEADERS AND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTORS IN VARIOUS COURTS IN ATTINGAL AND THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT EXHIBIT P4 THE TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 11/11/2022 IN W.P.(C) NO.31269 OF 2022