

E.P. Divakaran vs E.P. Prabhakaran

E.P. Divakaran vs E.P. Prabhakaran

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1368008

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Jun-21-2023

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice P.V.Kunhikrishnan

Appeal No. : RP/627/2023

Appellant : E.P. Divakaran

Respondent : E.P. Prabhakaran

Judgement :

RP NO. 627 OF 2023 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN
WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF JUNE 2023 / 31ST JYAISHTA, 1945
RP NO. 627 OF 2023 AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENTWP(C)
17258/2023 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA REVIEW PETITIONER/S:
E.P. DIVAKARAN AGED 67 YEARS S/O. CHANDUKUTTY, MANAYIL
HOUSE, KANNANKARA P.O., KOZHIKODE., PIN - 673616

BY ADVS. BABU JOSEPH KURUVATHAZHA MOHAMMED SHAFI.K
K.S.ARCHANA HRISHIKRISHNAN RESPONDENT/S: 1 E.P.

PRABHAKARAN S/O. CHANDUKUTTY, SUPRABHA HOUSE,
KANNANKARA P.O., 2 M. JAYAPRAKASAN S/O. CHANDUKUTTY,
MANAYIL HOUSE, KANNANKARA P.O., 3 M. RAJAN S/O.
CHANDUKUTTY, SREEKAILASAM HOUSE, KANNANKARA P.O.,
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT., PIN - 673616 4 M. ROHINI 5 M. SUBHAGHA
RP NO. 627 OF 2023 2 KOZHIKODE DISTRICT., PIN - 679616 6 M.
JALAJA

7 THE DIRECTOR OF GENERAL EDUCATION DIRECTORATE OF
GENERAL EDUCATION, JAGATHI, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM., PIN -
695014 8 THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER OFFICE OF THE
ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, CHEVAYUR, KOZHIKODE.,
PIN - 673107 9 P. DAKSHAYANI D/O. LATE CHANDUKUTTY,
MANAYIL HOUSE, KANNANKARA P.O., KOZHIKODE DISTRICT., PIN -
673616 BY ADV R.K.MURALEEDHARAN OTHER PRESENT:
SMT.SURYA BINOY, GP THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP
FOR ADMISSION ON 21.06.2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: RP NO. 627 OF 2023 3
P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN, J ----- R.P. No. 627 of
2023 in W.P.(C.) No. 17258 of 2023 ----- Dated
this the 21st day of June, 2023

ORDER

This review petition is filed by the 3rd respondent in W.P.(C.) No. 17258/2023. The review petitioner submitted that there is urgent need to fill up the vacancies in the school. Therefore, the direction No. 2 and 3 in this judgment is creating problem to the petitioner for making regular appointments. If that is the case, the time limit mentioned in direction No.1 of the judgment can be reduced to one month so that the matter can be considered by the DGE immediately. Therefore, this writ petition is allowed in part and the time limit fixed in direction No.1 is reduced to one month. The petitioners and respondent Nos. 3 and 4 in W.P.(C.) No. am. The Government Pleader will communicate the same to the RP NO. 627 OF 2023 4 DGE forthwith. Sd/- P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN JUDGE SKS RP NO. 627 OF 2023 5 APPENDIX OF

RP 627/2023 PETITIONER ANNEXURES Annexure-A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE PROCEEDING OF THE 8THRESPONDENT ISSUED IN OCTOBER 1987, APPROVING THE MANAGERSHIP OF THE REVIEW PETITIONER. Annexure-B TRUE TYPED COPY OF ANNEXURE-A.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com