

Krishnaraj vs Excise Inspector

Krishnaraj vs Excise Inspector

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1362489

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Jun-05-2023

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice V.G.Arun

Appeal No. : Crl.Rev.Pet/574/2023

Appellant : Krishnaraj

Respondent : Excise Inspector

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.G.ARUN MONDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF JUNE 2023 / 15TH JYAISHTA, 1945 AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN CRMP 2588/2023 OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS , KODUNGALLUR REVISION PETITIONER/S: KRISHNARAJ AGED 36 YEARS S/O RAI.AN, PANIKKASSERY HOUSE, VALAPPAD VILLAGE AND DESOM,CHAVAKKAD THALUK,TRICHUR, PIN - 680567 BY ADVS. T.M.CHANDRAN S.SUJITH RESPONDENT/S: 1 EXCISE INSPECTOR VADANAPPILLY, VADANAPPILLY P.O., TRICHUR, PIN - 680614 2 STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,HIGH COURT OF KERALA,ERNAKULAM, PIN - 682031 OTHER PRESENT:

SR.PP.RENJITH GEORGE THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 05.06.2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

Dated this the 5th day of June, 2023 The challenge in this revision petition is against an order requiring the petitioner to furnish cash security of Rs.4,10,000/- for releasing of his vehicle seized in connection with a crime registered under the Abkari Act. Although learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the condition is onerous and the petitioner should be permitted to furnish bond instead of cash security, since he is not an accused. The order being in terms of Section 53B of the Abkari Act, I find no reason to interfere. The revision petition is hence dismissed. Sd/- V.G ARUN JUDGE SJ

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com