

Krishnan vs Vally

Krishnan vs Vally

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1358027

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Jun-30-2023

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice C. Jayachandran

Appeal No. : RSA/942/2006

Appellant : Krishnan

Respondent : VALLY

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C. JAYACHANDRAN FRIDAY,
THE 30TH DAY OF JUNE 2023 / 9TH ASHADHA, 1945 AGAINST THE
ORDER/JUDGMENTAS 155/2003 OF DISTRICT COURT & SESSIONS
COURT,THRISSUR OS 737/1999 OF MUNSIFF COURT, VADAKKANANCHERRY
APPELLANT: KRISHNAN S/O.PARAKULANGARA THARAYIL,,
MELEPURATHUVEETIL R CHAMY NAIDU, PATTIPARAMBU, DESOM,
THIRUVILLWAMALA VILLAGE,, BY ADV SRI.G.SREEKUMAR (CHELUR)
RESPONDENTS: 1 VALLY PUTHENVEETIL KRISHNAN, THIRUVILLWAMALA
VILLAGE,, PATTIPARAMBU DESOM, TALAPPILLY TALUK. 2 SHANMUGHAN
AGED 50 EMPLOYED IN RAILWAY, S/O.LATE KRISHNAN,, 3
RADHAKRISHNAN SO.IST APPELLANT 4 SIVAMANY DO.IST APPELLANT 5

PREMA SIVADAS DO.1ST APPELLANT BY ADV SRI.P.K.MADHUSOODANAN
THIS REGULAR SECOND APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
30.06.2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

Dated this the 30th day of June, 2023 When this matter was taken up for consideration on the last posting date, learned counsel for the respondent submitted that the matter has been settled amicably by and between the parties out of the Court. On that day, learned Counsel for the appellant sought for time to ascertain the factum of settlement. Thereafter, the matter was posted on two occasions, including today. Today also, learned Counsel for the appellant submitted that he could not succeed in getting any instructions from the appellant as regards the settlement. In this circumstances, this appeal will stand disposed of settled, as claimed by the Counsel for the respondent. sd/- C. JAYACHANDRAN
JUDGE Nsd

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com