

Vinulal vs Preejitha

Vinulal vs Preejitha

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1353573

Court : Kerala Orders

Decided On : Apr-12-2023

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice Anil K.Narendran,Honourable Mr.Justice P.G. Ajithkumar

Appeal No. : Mat.Appeal/1250/2017

Appellant : Vinulal

Respondent : Preejitha

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRAN & THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.G. AJITHKUMAR WEDNESDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF APRIL 2023/22ND CHAITHRA, 1945 MAT.APPEAL NO.1250 OF 2017 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 15.06.2017 IN O.P.No.1819 OF 2014 OF FAMILY COURT,THRISSUR APPELLANT/PETITIONER: VINULAL, AGED 37 YEARS, S/O.VIJAYAN, RESIDING AT CHITTETH HOUSE, KOORKANCHERY P.O., KOORKANCHERY VILLGE, THRISSUR TALUK, THRISSUR, REPRESENTED BY HIS POWER OF ATTORNEY VIJAYAN, AGED 79 YEARS, S/O.KESAVAN NAIR, CHITTETH HOUSE, KOORKANCHERY

VILLAGE, THRISSUR. SRI.P.M.RAFIQ SRI.AJIT THOMAS
RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS: PREEJITHA, AGED 32 YEARS,
D/O.KIZHAKKETHIL MANIMENON, PAINKULAM VILLAGE,
TALAPPILLY TALUK, NOW RESIDING AT SRE NANDANAM, CITE
NO.55, SWARNAPURI, HIGH LINE EXTENSION 15, VELAMPALAYAM,
THIRUPPOOR, TAMILNADU-641652. SMT.GAYATHRI R.KRISHNAN
SRI.J.R.PREM NVAZ SRI.P.T.SHEEJISH THIS MATRIMONIAL
APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12.04.2023, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: -2-

JUDGMENT

Anil K.Narendran, J.

The appellant filed O.P.No.1819 of 2014 before the Family Court, Thrissur, against the respondent herein-wife, seeking a decree of divorce on the ground of cruelty and desertion. By judgment dated 15.06.2017, O.P.No.1819 of 2014 was dismissed. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant has filed this appeal, invoking the provisions under Section 19(1) of the Family Courts Act, 1984.

2. On 29.03.2021, when this appeal came up for admission, this Court admitted the matter on file. The

respondent entered appearance through counsel. By the order

dated 29.03.2021, the matter was referred for mediation.

3. Today, when this appeal is taken up for

consideration, the learned counsel for the appellant would submit that the matter has already been settled out of the court and therefore the appellant does not want to prosecute this appeal and the same may be dismissed as withdrawn. -3- Based on the aforesaid submission made by the learned counsel for the appellant, this appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. ANIL K. NARENDHAN, JUDGE
P.G.AJITHKUMAR, JUDGE ww

