

Rajesh vs Mary

Rajesh vs Mary

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1351611

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Jan-31-2023

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice a.Muhammed Mustaque,Honourable Mrs. Justice Shoba Annamma Eapen

Appeal No. : FAO/76/2017

Appellant : Rajesh

Respondent : MARY

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMMED MUSTAQUE & THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHOBA ANNAMMA EAPEN TUESDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF JANUARY 2023 / 11TH MAGHA, 1944 FAO NO. 76 OF 2017 AGAINST THE COMMON ORDER IN I.A.NOS.187 & 185 OF 2015 IN OS 512/2010 DATED 8.3.2017 OF ADDITIONAL SUB COURT, IRINJALAKUDA APPELLANT/PETITIONER/1ST DEFENDANT RAJESH,AGED 53 , S/O. THEMATH VEETIL NARAYANAN, VELLIKULANGARA VILLAGE, KODUNGALLUR DESOM, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK BY ADV SRI.G.SREEKUMAR (CHELUR) RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS

AND THE LEGAL HEIRS OF THE FIRST RESPONDENT/SECOND PLAINTIFF, DEFENDANTS 2 TO 5 AND THE LEGAL HEIRS OF THE FIRST PLAINTIFF

1 MARY, AGED 65, W/O. KANNAMPUZHA OUSEPH, KUNDAI ESTATE DESOM, VARANDHARAPILLY VILLAGE, P.O. PALAPILLY, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK - 680001 2 RAMESH AGED 33, S/O. CHATTUPARAMBIL MOHANAN, KANAKAMALA DESOM, VARANDHARAPILLY VILLAGE, P.O. PALAPILLY, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK - 680001 3 DASAN @ BABUTTAN @ BABU AGED 35, KUNNUMAKARAVEETIL DINAKARAN, VATTEKATT CHATTELAM PADAM DESOM, KODAKARA VILLAGE, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK - 680001 4 ANEESH, AGED 28, S/O. PALLIKUDAN KUTTAN, PAZHAMBILLY DESOM, KODAKARA VILLAGE, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK - 5 DAVIS AGED 51, S/O. NJAREKADAN ANTHONY, KOPLIPADAM

DESOM, VELLIKULANGARA VILLAGE, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK - 6 VARGHESE AGED 45, S/O. KANNAMPUZHA OUSEPH, KUNDAI ESTATE MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK - 680001 7 SHINY AGED 39, D/O. KANNAMPUZHA OUSEPH, KUNDAI ESTATE MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK - 680001 THIS FIRST APPEAL FROM ORDERS HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31.01.2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE & SHOBA ANNAMMA EAPEN, JJ. -----
F.A.O. 76 OF 2017 ----- Dated : 31st January, 2023

JUDGMENT

Muhamed Mustaque, J.

Several opportunities were given to the appellant to take steps; but no steps have been taken so far. The appeal is hence, dismissed for non-prosecution. A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, Judge SHOBA ANNAMMA EAPEN, Judge MrCs