

K.Arjunan vs Prasanna

K.Arjunan vs Prasanna

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1345094

Court : Kerala Orders

Decided On : Sep-20-2023

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice P.Somarajan

Appeal No. : Crl.Rev.Pet/202/2006

Appellant : K.Arjunan

Respondent : Prasanna

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.SOMARAJAN
WEDNESDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2023 / 29TH
BHADRA, 1945 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 31.12.2004 IN CC
527/2000 OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS -
II, NEYYATTINKARA

REVISION PETITIONER/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT: K.ARJUNAN, S/O.
KUMARABOSE, AGED 60 YEARS, MURALIVILASOM, CHENGAL
P.O.,, VLATHANKARA, NEYYATTINKARA
TALUK, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM BY ADVS. SRI.M.K.CHANDRA
MOHANDAS SRI.SABU S.KALLARAMOOLA

RESPONDENTS/ACCUSED AND STATE: 1 PRASANNA, D/O. KANAKAMBIKA 2 VINCENT, S/O. JOSEPH, 3 GOPINATHAN NADAR, S/O. PARAMESWARAN NADAR, NALUKETTU PUTHEN VEEDU, PADIPPURA, KARINCHOORI, MACHIYODE DESOM, CHENKAL VILLAGE.

4 THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM. R4 BY ADV. SMT ,M N MAYA, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 20.09.2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

It is against the order of acquittal the defacto complainant came up in criminal revision in the year 2006. It was so far not admitted. Now almost seventeen years elapsed. Today also the counsel for the revision petitioner was not ready to argue the matter on admission. Since it is against the order of the acquittal, I am of the view that no further time can be granted. Nothing was brought to the notice of this Court challenging the correctness, legality or propriety of any of the findings or the sentence awarded or any irregularity in the proceedings. Hence, the Crl. R.P fails, dismissed. Sd/- P.SOMARAJAN JUDGE SPV

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com