

Antony Davis vs Renu Raj

Antony Davis vs Renu Raj

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1334501

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Jun-30-2023

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice Mohammed Nias C.P.

Appeal No. : Con.Case(C)/194/2023

Appellant : Antony Davis

Respondent : Renu Raj

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED NIAS C.P.
FRIDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JUNE 2023 / 9TH ASHADHA, 1945
CON.CASE(C) NO. 194 OF 2023 AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT
IN WP(C) 3564/2017 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA

PETITIONER: ANTONY DAVIS AGED 59 YEARS S/O. DASIOUS,
THOTTAKATHU HOUSE, CHERANALLOR, KOCHI-34, PIN - 682034
BY ADVS. K.R.VINOD M.S.LETHA NABIL KHADER CHITHRA
C.EDADAN DEVIKA PRASAD RESPONDENT: RENU RAJ (AGE AND
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER) THE DISTRICT
COLLECTOR, OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KAKKANAD,
ERNAKULAM. 682020, PIN - 682020 OTHER PRESENT: BY SR GP

SMT.VINITHA B THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30.06.2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: MOHAMMED NIAS. C.P.,J
----- Cont. of Court Case (Civil) No.194 of 2023 ----- Dated
this the 30th day of June, 2023

JUDGMENT

This contempt of court case (Civil) is filed alleging non-compliance with the judgment dated 28.10.2022 in WP(C)No. 3564 of 2017. Earlier an

order was passed on 28.1.2023 without reference to the directions of this

Court, which directed specific consideration to the documents mentioned in the judgment dated 28.10.2022. Accordingly, this Court passed the following order on 17.03.2023 :- On behalf of the Government, a memo is filed producing the

order passed in purported compliance of the judgment of this Court.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the documents which were produced in the writ petition which is mentioned in the

judgment has not been considered by the respondent while passing

the fresh order.

2. The learned Government Pleader is directed to get

instructions on the same. Needless to say that if the documents referred to in the judgment are not considered as directed, the respondent has not complied with the judgment. If that is so, the respondent will ensure compliance of the order by passing orders in compliance with the

directions given in the judgment. Post on 4.4.2023.

Thereafter, a fresh order is passed on 8.5.2023 referring to the documents directed to be considered. Accordingly, the contempt of court case (civil) is closed, without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to challenge the order dated 8.5.2023, if so advised. Sd/-MOHAMMED NIAS C.P., JUDGE dlk/30.6.2023
APPENDIX OF CON.CASE(C) 194/2023 PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES Annexure A1 THE CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT OF THIS HON'BLE COURT IN W.P.(C) NO. 3564/2017 DATED 28.10.2022 Annexure A2 THE COPY OF ANNEXURE A1 SEALED BY THE COCHIN METRO RAIL PROJECT DATED 04.11.2022 Annexure A3 THE COPY OF THE REQUEST LETTER SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE 3RD Annexure A4 THE ORIGINAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT RECEIPT RECEIVED BY THE PETITIONER FROM THE OFFICE OF THE 3RD

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com