

Saneeshkumar vs Reshma a.R

Saneeshkumar vs Reshma a.R

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1318248

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Feb-01-2024

Judge : Honourable Mrs. Justice Anu Sivaraman, Honourable Mr. Justice C.Pratheep Kumar

Appeal No. : OP (FC)/693/2023

Appellant : Saneeshkumar

Respondent : Reshma a.R

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN & THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.PRATHEEP KUMAR
THURSDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF FEBRUARY 2024 / 12TH MAGHA, 1945
OP (FC) NO. 693 OF 2023 AGAINST THE ORDER IN I.A.1/2023 IN OP 378/2020 OF FAMILY COURT, PALAKKAD DATED 8.6.2023
PETITIONER/PETITIONER/RESPONDENT: SANEESHKUMAR AGED 35 YEARS, S/O. KUNJIKRISHNAN, KALLUKATTIL HOUSE, AANAKKAL P.O., MALAMPUZHA, PALAKKAD TALUK, PALAKKAD DISTRICT, REPRESENTED BY POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER KUNJIKRISHNAN, S/O.KANDU, KALLUKATTIL HOUSE, AANAKKAL

P.O., MALAMPUZHA, PALAKKAD, PIN-678651 BY ADVS.
A.T.ANILKUMAR V.SHYLAJA
RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/PETITIONER: RESHMA A.R AGED 33
YEARS, D/O. RAVI, AANAKKATIL HOUSE, KALOOR P.O, MANKARA,
MANKURUSSI, PALAKKAD, PIN - 678613 THIS OP (FAMILY COURT)
HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01.02.2024, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

Dated this the 1st day of February, 2024

Anu Sivaraman, J.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The O.P (FC) is filed challenging the order of interim

maintenance passed by the Family Court directing payment of Rs.6000/- as maintenance to the wife and Rs.2,500/- to the child. There was a further direction to pay Rs.5,000/- towards litigation expenses. It is submitted that the minor child is aged 6 years old.

3. Having considered the contentions advanced, we see no

infirmity in the order passed by the Family Court. However, it is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the wife is gainfully employed and there is no justification for grant of maintenance to her. In view of the fact that the order under challenge is ordering interim maintenance, it is for the petitioner, if he has any material to support his contention, to raise the same before the Family Court. In case such a claim is raised, supported by proper material, it will be considered appropriately by the Family Court. ANU SIVARAMAN, C. PRATHEEP KUMAR, sou. APPENDIX OF OP (FC) 693/2023 PETITIONER EXHIBITS EXHIBIT P1 THE TRUE COPY OF THE O.P.378/2020 BEFORE THE FAMILY COURT, PALAKKAD, WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION EXHIBIT P2 THE TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION ON OP ENGLISH TRANSLATION EXHIBIT P3 THE TRUE

COPY OF THE PETITION IN I.A. NO.1/2023 IN O.P. NO.378/2020 FAMILY COURT PALAKKAD, DATED 13/1/2023,WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION. EXHIBIT P4 THE TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED IN I.A. NO.1/2023 IN O.P. NO.378/2023 DATED 08.06.2023 IN THE FAMILY COURT, PALAKKAD.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com