

Anilkumar vs State of Kerala

Anilkumar vs State of Kerala

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1306826

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Jul-30-2024

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice P.G. Ajithkumar

Appeal No. : Crl.Rev.Pet/805/2022

Appellant : Anilkumar

Respondent : State of Kerala

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.G. AJITHKUMAR
TUESDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JULY 2024 / 8TH SRAVANA, 1946
CRL.REV.PET NO. 805 OF 2022 CRIME NO.1385/2013 OF
MANNUTHY POLICE STATION, THRISSUR AGAINST THE ORDER
DATED 17.10.2022 IN CRL.MP NO.426 OF 2022 IN SC NO.650 OF
2014 OF FAST TRACK SPECIAL COURT, THRISSUR. REVISION
PETITIONER/1ST ACCUSED (IN CRIME NO.1385/2013 OF
MANNUTHY POLICE STATION):

ANILKUMAR AGED 32 YEARS SON OF ASOKAN, EDATHARA
VEEDU, K.A.U. QUARTERS NO.49, MANNUTHY POST, OLLUKKARA

complainant, which contains the allegations of sexual abuse made by the petitioner as well as a few others. The allegations against the petitioner are contained in page Nos. 4 and 6 of Annexure-A2. What is mentioned in page No.4 is that, while the de facto complainant was studying in the 9th standard, the petitioner had subjected her to sexual intercourse. From the materials on record, it is seen that the de facto complainant studied in the 9th standard in the year 2011. Further, allegation in page No.6 of Annexure-A2 statement is that, her relationship with the petitioner continued till June 2013.

5. In the light of the said statements, the learned

counsel for the petitioner urged two contentions, firstly that, at the time when the first incident occurred, the Po CSO Act did not come into force and therefore, the

petitioner cannot be charged for the offences under the Po CSO Act concerning that and secondly, that the version of the de facto complainant that she continued relationship with the petitioner till 2013 June is insufficient to implicate the petitioner for an offence of penetrative sexual assault or even sexual assault.

6. The Trial Court after considering the materials on

record, ordered as follows:- 10. After going through the records produced by the prosecution, I am of the view that charge is liable to be framed against the petitioner for commission of offences punishable u/s 450, 511 of 376 and 376(2)(n) of IPC, Sec.6 r/w 5(1) and 8 r/w 7 of the POCSO Act and Sec. 66A and 67E of The Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008.

11. In the above situation, the conclusion to be drawn is that the prayer for discharge is without merit. It is my firm view that the petitioner has to face trial.

7. The contention of the petitioner is that from the

assertions of the de facto complainant that her relationship continued till June 2013, commission of any sexual act by the petitioner cannot be inferred. The version that relationship continued till June 2013 cannot be understood in isolation. That has to be read in the context of the preceding statements. Therefore, the view taken by the Trial Court in that regard is not liable to be interfered with.

8. In regard to the sexual act allegedly occurred in

the year 2011, no offence under the Po CSO Act can be attracted. The Po CSO Act came into force only on 09.11.2012. It can have only prospective application. Therefore, the view taken by the trial court that a charge for the offence under Section 6 r/w 5(l) and 8 r/w 7 of the POCSO Act could be framed even regarding the act allegedly committed in the year 2011 is incorrect. To that extent, the impugned order is liable to be interfered with. The charge against the petitioner shall be modified accordingly.

9. The Revision Petition is therefore, allowed in part. The trial court will proceed to frame charge in regard to the incident occurred in 2011, that is, while the de facto

complainant was studying in the 9th standard, for the offences excluding the offences under the Po CSO Act. The remaining offences which continued till June, 2013 shall be charged under separate head and the offences shall be the mis mentioned in the impugned order. Sd/- P.G. AJITHKUMAR, JUDGE JJ

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com