

Muhammed Baseeth vs Shereena

Muhammed Baseeth vs Shereena

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1296125

Court : Kerala Orders

Decided On : Mar-13-2024

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice P.Somarajan

Appeal No. : RPFC/320/2023

Appellant : Muhammed Baseeth

Respondent : Shereena

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.SOMARAJAN
WEDNESDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF MARCH 2024 / 23RD PHALGUNA,
1945 AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT DATED IN MC NO.229 OF
2018 OF FAMILY COURT, PALAKKAD REVISION
PETITIONER/COUNTER PETITIONER: MUHAMMED BASEETH AGED
38 YEARS S/O. ABDUL AZEEZ, R/A THAZHATHEPEEDIYAKKA
VEEDU, MANGALAM DAM VILAGE, ALATHUR TALUK., PIN - 678706

BASIL MATHEW AAROMAL THULASI RESPONDENTS/PETITIONERS:
1 SHEREENA AGED 32 YEARS D/O. KAMMUKUTTY, BISMILLA
MANZIL, ALATHUR TALUK, PIN - 678706 *2 AMEEN MUHAMMED
AGED 14 YEARS (MINOR),(CORRECTED) BISMILLA MANZIL,

ALATHUR TALUK -678706 *3 AYAN MUHAMMED AGED 9 YEARS (MINOR), BISMILLA MANZIL, (CORRECTED) ALATHUR TALUK-678706 * 2ND AND 3RD MINOR RESPONDENTS ARE REPRESENTED BY 1ST RESPONDENT, AS PER THE ORDER DATED ABRAHAM SAMSON LOVELY SAMSON(K/86-A/1999) THIS REV.PETITION(FAMILY COURT) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13.03.2024, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

The revision petitioner and the counsel remained absent. There was no representation. The counsel for the respondent is present in person and ready with the matter. It is against the order of maintenance the husband came up. This would show what is behind it. Hence, the absence of the counsel cannot be condoned. The RPFC will stand dismissed for non- prosecution. Sd/- P.SOMARAJAN JUDGE SPV

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com