

Chellammal vs Johnson

Chellammal vs Johnson

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1295785

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Jul-18-2024

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice Anil K.Narendran,Honourable Mr. Justice Harisankar V. Menon

Appeal No. : WA/1996/2016

Appellant : Chellammal

Respondent : Johnson

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRAN & THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISANKAR V.MENON THURSDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF JULY 2024 / 27TH ASHADHA, 1946 WA NO. 1996 OF 2016 AGAINST THE JUDGMENT DATED 09.08.2016 IN WPC NO.26427 OF 2016 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA ----- APPELLANT/S: CHELLAMMAL, W/O.JAWAHAR, KARPAKA ILLAM, KARUVAPPARA, KOZHINJAMPARA, CHITTUR, PALAKKAD 678 101, REPRESENTED BY POWER OF ATTORNEY T. VIJAYAKUMAR, S/O R. THIRUMOORTHY, AYYAPPANKUNNU KALAM, NATTUKAL POST, KOZHINJAMPARA, PALAKKAD-678 554. BY ADV.SRI.O.D.SIVADAS RESPONDENTS: 1 JOHNSON, S/O.GEROGE, CHEMBANAL HOUSE,

CHUVANNAMANNU, THRISSUR-680 001. 2 THE SECRETARY, THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, PALAKKAD-678 001. OTHER PRESENT: SR. GP- SRI. B. UNNIKRISHNA KAIMAL THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 18.07.2024, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

Harisankar V. Menon, J.

The 2nd respondent in WP(C) No.26427 of 2016 is the appellant in this writ appeal filed under Section 5 of the Kerala High Court Act.

2. The 1st respondent herein had filed WP(C) No.26427 of 2016 before this Court, seeking for a direction to the 2 nd respondent herein to consider and pass orders on Ext.P5 representation preferred by the 1st respondent herein. By the impugned judgment, this Court had issued a direction to the 2 nd

respondent herein, to consider and dispose of Ext.P5 representation, if possible in the next timing conference scheduled, and at any rate, within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. The 2nd respondent in the writ petition has preferred this appeal mainly contending that the writ petition has been disposed of without notice and without hearing her.

3. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the

appellant in this appeal. He has pointed out that the vehicle concerned has been already transferred to another person and therefore, nothing further survives for consideration in this appeal. Recording the above submission by the learned counsel for the appellant, this appeal is closed. ANIL K.NARENDRAN
HARISANKAR V. MENON In

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com