

Sethumadhavan vs Sreedevi

Sethumadhavan vs Sreedevi

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1294510

Court : Kerala Orders

Decided On : Mar-04-2024

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice Sathish Ninan

Appeal No. : RFA/476/2014

Appellant : Sethumadhavan

Respondent : Sreedevi

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATHISH NINAN
MONDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF MARCH 2024 / 14TH PHALGUNA, 1945
RFA NO. 476 OF 2014 AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE
DATED 28.02.2014 IN OS NO.1105 OF 2009 OF IST ADDITIONAL SUB
COURT, THRISSUR APPELLANTS/PLAINTIFFS: 1 SETHUMADHAVAN
AGED 65 YEARS 2 KUMARI @ PARVATHY DEVI SREELAKSHMI
SABU MANJUSHA K(K/000191/2018) RESWIN A.
KHADIR(K/000774/2022) RESPONDENTS/DEFENDANTS:

1 SREEDEVI AGED 47 YEARS VELIYANNUR DESOM,
THRISSIVAPERUR VILLAGE AND TALUK, THRISSUR (DT). NOW

RESIDING AT SNEHA GARDEN, HOUSE NO.54, MANGATTU LANE, KOORKENCHERRY P.O., THRISSUR. 2 VIJAYALEKSHMI AGED 55 YEARS THRISSIVAPERUR VILLAGE AND TALUK, THRISSUR (DT). NOW RESIDING AT KOOTTALAVEETIL HOUSE, VELIYANNUR DESOM, THRISSIVAPERUR VILLAGE AND TALUK, THRISSUR (DT) RFA No.476 of 2014 -: 2 :-

3 VALSALA DEVI AGED 53 YEARS TALUK, THRISSUR (DT) NOW RESIDING AT VALSALA NIVAS, CHEMPUKAVU P.O., THRISSUR. 4 SANKARANARAYANAN TALUK, THRISSUR (DT) NOW RESIDING AT KIZHAKKUNTHARA HOUSE, KUMARANELLUR P.O., VADAKKANCHERY, THRISSUR DISTRICT. 5 OMANA AGED 49 YEARS TALUK, THRISSUR (DT) NOW RESIDING AT PALLIYIL VEEDU, KALLAI P.O., THANNIKUDAM, THRISSUR. 6 SARASWATHY AGED 52 YEARS TALUK, THRISSUR (DT) NOW RESIDING AT THANGATH HOUSE, MAYILEPADAM, CHEMPUKAVU, THRISSUR DISTRICT. 7 CHANDRASEKHARAN @ CHANDRAN AGED 67 YEARS S/O.KLAMKUNNATH AMMUKUTTY AMMA, VELIYANNUR DESOM, THRISSIVAPERUR VILLAGE AND TALUK, THRISSUR (DT), NOW RESIDING AT KOOTTALAVEETIL HOUSE, VELIYANNUR DESOM, THRISSIVAPERUR VILLAGE AND TALUK, THRISSUR (DT) SRI.G.SREEKUMAR (CHELUR)

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 04.03.2024, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

Sathish Ninan, J.

R.F.A No.476 of 2014 Dated this the 4th day of March, 2024

JUDGMENT

No representation for the appellants. Hence this appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. Sd/- Sathish Ninan, Judge SVP

