

Kuriakose vs Anto

Kuriakose vs Anto

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1294036

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Dec-20-2024

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice T.R.Ravi

Appeal No. : /177/2013

Appellant : Kuriakose

Respondent : ANTO

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATHISH NINAN
WEDNESDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2024 / 9TH
PHALGUNA, RFA NO. 177 OF 2013 AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND
DECREE DATED 26.11.2012 IN OS.NO. APPELLANT/PLAINTIFF:
KURIAKOSE C.L., AGED 44 YEARS S/O. LATE C.K.LONAPPAN,
RESIDING AT CHAKKRAMAKKAL HOUSE, PALUVAI P.O.,
CHAVAKKAD VILLAGE, CHAVAKKAD TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT,
PIN-680522. BY ADV SRI.MANJU ANTONY RESPONDENTS /
DEFENDANTS:

1 ANTO, AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS, S/O. CHAKKARAMAKKIL THOMAS, PALUVAI DESOM, CHAVAKKAD AMSOM, CHAVAKKAD TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT, NOW RESIDING AT PAVARTTY, RENTED HOUSE PALUVAI ROAD, THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN 680522. 2 JOSE, S/O. CHAKRAMAKIL THOMAS, PALUVAI DESOM, CHAVAKKAD AMSOM, CHAVAKAD TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT PIN 680522, NOW RESIDING AT CHOOLPURAM DESOM IRINGAPRAM VILLAGE, KOTTAPPADY, THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN-680505. 3 SINI, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS W/O. 1ST DEFENDANT, PALUVAI DESOM, CHAVAKAD 680522, NOW RESIDING AT PAVARTTY, RENTED HOUSE PALUVAI ROAD AND D/O. CHITILAPILLY JOSE PAUL,

-: 2 :-

PIN 680522. 4 P.C.MOLY W/O. 2ND DEFENDANT, PALUVAI DESOM, CHAVAKAD AMSOM, CHAVAKAD TALUK, NOW RESIDING AT CHOOLPURAM DESOM IRINGAPRAM VILLAGE, KOTTAPPADY, THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN-680505. 5 RADHA W/O.VADAKEPATT WARIYATH, RAGHUNANDANAM, P.O.GURUVAYOOR, THIRUVENKIDAM DESOM, CHAVAKAD 680101. 6 MINI W/O. MOZHAYATH MANIKANTAN, KARAKAD DESOM, GURUVAYOOR AMSOM AND P.O., CHAVAKAD TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN-680101. BY ADVS. N.J.JOHNSON B.RAGHUNANDANAN SREELAL N.WARRIER

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 28.02.2024, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: -: 3 :-

Sathish Ninan, J.

=====

===== Dated this the 28th day of February, 2024

JUDGMENT

The appeal was listed on 22/02/2024 on which day, there was no representation for the appellant and the case is adjourned to this day.

2. Today morning, when the case was called,

there was no representation for the appellant and the case was kept aside. It was again called at 1.45 pm. Then also, there was no representation for the appellant. Hence, the appeal is dismissed for non- prosecution. Sd/- Sathish Ninan, Judge
rsr

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com