

Harikrishnan.P vs Kerala University of Health Sciences

Harikrishnan.P vs Kerala University of Health Sciences

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1282570

Court : Kerala Orders

Decided On : Mar-26-2025

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice P.M.Manoj

Appeal No. : WP(C)/26976/2016

Appellant : Harikrishnan.P

Respondent : Kerala University of Health Sciences

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.M.MANOJ
WEDNESDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH 2025 / 5TH CHAITHRA,
1947 WP(C) NO. 26976 OF 2016 PETITIONERS: 1 HARIKRISHNAN.P
AGED 22 YEARS PULIYASSERY ILLAM, VELLALASSERY (P.O) R.E.C
VIA CALICUT, KERALA, PIN - 673601 2 ADARSH K.V KEELATH
HOUSE, BENGALAM P.O, NEELESWAR, PIN - 671314 BY ADVS.
RAJIT C.DHEERAJ RAJAN V.VIJITHA RESPONDENTS:

1 KERALA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES THRISSUR, REP BY
ITS REGISTRAR-680596 2 GOVT MEDICAL COLLEGE, KOZHIKODE
REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL-673008 3 COLLEGE UNION GOVT MEDICAL

COLLEGE, KOZHIKODE REP BY ITS CHAIRMAN- BY ADV BINNY THOMAS, SC, KERALA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES R2 - SRI.BINOY DAVIS,GOVERNMENT PLEADER THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26.03.2025, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.M. MANOJ, J -----
----- Dated this the 26th day of March,
2025

JUDGMENT

The writ petition is preferred by the students of the 2nd respondent College challenging the alleged illegal collection of college union fund by the 3rd respondent.

2. It is further contended that apart from the collection of

college union fund at the beginning of each academic year, the 3rd respondent illegally collected an additional amount of Rs.3,000/- as college union fund from all the fresh students, who were taken admission in the college in each academic year and they misappropriated the same for their personal purpose.

3. The matter was came up for consideration in the year

2016, thereafter three postings were given to the case till 16.12.2016. The petitioners have not attempted for any further posting till this day. Even going by the contentions in the writ petition and the attitude of the petitioners, I think that the petitioners are no longer interested in prosecuting the case further as the issue pertains to 2014, by this time the course of such students would have completed. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed for non- prosecution. Sd/- P.M.MANOJ
JUDGE sss