

Naval Kishore and Ors. vs State Nct of Delhi & Anr.

Naval Kishore and Ors. vs State Nct of Delhi & Anr.

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1275746

Court : Delhi

Decided On : May-16-2026

Judge : Hon'Ble Mr. Justice Girish Kathpalia

Appeal No. : CRL.M.C./98/2026

Appellant : Naval Kishore and Ors.

Respondent : State Nct of Delhi & Anr.

Advocate for Def. : Mr. Hemant Mehla, Mr. Daya Ram Badalia

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Ms. Tuba Uroosa

Judgement :

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

% Date of Decision: 16.05.2026 + CRL.M.C. 98/2026 NAVAL KISHORE
AND ORS. Petitioners Through: Ms. Tuba Uroosa, Advocate

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents Through: Mr. Hemant
Mehla, APP for the State with SI Vinod Kumar Mr. Daya Ram Badalia,
Advocate for R-2 with R-2 (through videoconferencing). CORAM:

JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA J U D G M E N T (ORAL)

1. Petitioners seek quashing of case FIR No. 103/2012 of PS Palam for offence under Section 498A/406/34 IPC on the ground that the complainant de facto (respondent no.2) has compromised the disputes with the

2. State has no objection to this petition. Statements of parties have

already been recorded by the concerned Joint Registrar. CRL.M.C. 98/2026 Page 1 of 2 pages GIRISH 2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4afec45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626cacca, KATHPALIA serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d1557 0996b40f80cbd2eee60402c487965ff801e26fa Signature Not Verified , cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA Date: 2026.05.16 06:03:36 +05'30'

3. The respondent no. 2, appearing through videoconferencing, is

identified by IO/SI Vinod Kumar. I have spoken with respondent no.2 in Hindi and it is stated by her that she has compromised all disputes with the petitioners. Marriage between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 stands already dissolved by way of decree of divorce. One daughter was born from wedlock of petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2, who is in custody of respondent no. 2. The respondent no.2 submits that she has received full and final settlement amount in lieu of her entire stridhan and maintenance. Respondent no.2 submits that she does not wish to continue prosecution of

4. Having spoken with respondent no.2, I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of justice not to push the parties through full dress trial.

5. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 103/2012 of PS Palam for offence under Section 498A/406/34 IPC as well as proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

GIRISH 2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4afec45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626cacca, KATHPALIA

serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d15

570996b40f80cbd2eee60402c487965ff801 e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA Date:
2026.05.16 06:03:24 +05'30' GIRISH KATHPALIA (JUDGE) MAY 16, 2026/rs
CRL.M.C. 98/2026 Page 2 of 2 pages Signature Not Verified

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com