

Rishipreet Sachdeva vs Ravneet Kaur Sachdeva

Rishipreet Sachdeva vs Ravneet Kaur Sachdeva

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1275566

Court : Delhi Orders

Decided On : Apr-30-2026

Judge : Hon'Ble Mr. Justice Prateek Jalan

Appeal No. : CRL.M.C./3061/2026

Appellant : Rishipreet Sachdeva

Respondent : Ravneet Kaur Sachdeva

Advocate for Def. : Mr. Manoj Chouhan, Mr. Ujjwal Singh Parmar, Ms. Neha Raj Singh

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Mr. Prashant Mendiratta, Mr. Anirudh K. Mudgal, Mr. Shubhashish Sharma, Ms. Vaishnavi Saxena, Ms. Avni Soni, Ms. Sakshi Jain

Judgement :

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 3061/2026, CRL.M.A. 12470/2026, CRL.M.A. RISHIPREET SACHDEVAPetitioner Through: Mr. Prashant Mendiratta, Mr. Anirudh K. Mudgal, Mr. Shubhashish Sharma, Ms. Vaishnavi Saxena, Ms. Avni Soni & Ms. Sakshi Jain, Advocates.

versus

RAVNEET KAUR SACHDEVARespondent Through: Mr. Manoj Chouhan, Mr. Ujjwal Singh Parmar & Ms. Neha Raj Singh, Advocates.

CORAM:

HONBLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% 30.04.2026

1. The present petition, under Section 528 of the Bharaitya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, arises out of complaint case [CT Case

Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 [DV Act]. By an order

dated 09.04.2025, the Mahila Court closed the petitioners right to file a written statement in the proceedings. The petitioner assailed the said

order before the Appellate Court, which upheld the said order vide order

dated 13.10.2025.

2. In the course of hearing, learned counsel on both sides have come CRL.M.C. 3061/2026 Page 1 of 2

to an agreement that the petition may be disposed of, in the following terms:- a. The petitioner had served a copy of a written statement under the DV Act proceedings upon the respondent prior to 09.04.2025. The same be taken on record, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 30,000/- by the petitioner to the respondent. b. The respondents application for interim maintenance is listed before the Mahila Court on 02.05.2026. The written statement may be taken on record on that date, subject to payment of costs as aforesaid. c. The petitioner will not seek any adjournment on the aforesaid date before the Mahila Court. d. The

Mahila Court is requested to consider the application for interim maintenance as expeditiously as possible, having regard to the position of the Board and the age of the matters pending before it.

3. The petition, alongwith pending applications, stands disposed of with these directions. PRATEEK JALAN, J APRIL 30, 2026 pv/AD/ CRL.M.C. 3061/2026
Page 2 of 2

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com