

Santosh Kumar vs Kanchan

Santosh Kumar vs Kanchan

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1273752

Court : Delhi Orders

Decided On : Feb-03-2026

Judge : Hon'Ble Mr. Justice Vivek Chaudhary ,Hon'Ble Ms. Justice Renu Bhatnagar

Appeal No. : MAT.APP.(F.C.)/5/2025

Appellant : Santosh Kumar

Respondent : Kanchan

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Mr. Neeraj Rathi

Judgement :

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 5/2025, CM APPL. 367/2025 & CM APPL. SANTOSH KUMARAppellant Through: Mr. Neeraj Rathi, Adv. (through VC)

versus

KANCHANRespondent Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY

ORDER

% 03.02.2026

1. The present appeal has been filed under Section 28(4) of the Hindu

Marriage Act, 1955 (HMA) read with Section 19 of the Family Court, 1984 assailing the Order dated 11.07.2024 passed by the Learned Family Court, North District, Rohini Courts, Delhi in HMA no. 2241 of 2022, whereby, the application under Section 24 of the HMA has been allowed and the appellant has been directed to pay a consolidated monthly maintenance of Rs. 15,000/- per month to the respondent for herself and the minor daughter, from the date of filing of the application till further orders/during pendency of the petition.

2. Perusal of the record shows that the salary certificate of the appellant is on record.

3. After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the appellant prays to withdraw the present appeal with liberty to contest the matter before the concerned Family Court.

4. With the aforesaid liberty, the present appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

6. The file be consigned to record. VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J RENU BHATNAGAR,
J FEBRUARY 3, 2026 rs/nc