SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com

Rajesh Kumar and Ors vs the State Nct of Delhi and Anr

Rajesh Kumar and Ors vs the State Nct of Delhi and Anr

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1265936

Court : Delhi Orders

Decided On : May-29-2026

Judge : Hon'Ble Dr. Justice Swarana Kanta Sharma

Appeal No. : CRL.M.C./4311/2026

Appellant : Rajesh Kumar and Ors

Respondent : The State Nct of Delhi and Anr

Advocate for Def. : Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, Mr. RCS Bhadoria

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Mr. Shekher Chand Jain

Judgement :

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 4311/2026 RAJESH KUMAR AND ORS .....Petitioners Through: Mr. Shekher Chand Jain, Advocate with petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR .....Respondents Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP alongwith SI Naresh, Main IO, with SI Sangeeta Malik. Mr. RCS Bhadoria, Advocate for R-2 and R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% 29.05.2026

1. By way of the present application, the applicant is seeking quashing

of the FIR bearing no. 666/2021, registered at Police Station Bindapur, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.

2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.

3. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station, Bindapur, Delhi.

4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the nephew of the petitioners and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi, in accordance with Hindu rites. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and

issues had arisen between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2. Upon the

complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 17.09.2025.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been

identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their

differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

7. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 666/2021, registered at Police Station Bindapur, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections are quashed.

8. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith. DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J MAY 29, 2026/vs/r

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com