

Jagpal Singh Yadav vs Delhi Development Authority & Ors.

Jagpal Singh Yadav vs Delhi Development Authority & Ors.

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1264302

Court : Delhi

Decided On : May-11-2026

Judge : Hon'Ble Mr. Justice Jasmeet Singh

Appeal No. : W.P.(C)/6368/2026

Appellant : Jagpal Singh Yadav

Respondent : Delhi Development Authority & Ors.

Advocate for Def. : Ms. Mrinalini Sen, Ms. Aditi Saxena, Mr. Shiven Varma, Mr. Rudraksh Mathur

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Mr. Harshit Jain, Mr. Utsav Tarsolia

Judgement :

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 6368/2026 & CM APPL. 31394/2026, CM APPL. JAGPAL SINGH YADAVPetitioner Through: Mr. Harshit Jain, Adv. Mr. Utsav Tarsolia, Adv.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.Respondents Through:
Ms. Mrinalini Sen (SC) with Ms. Aditi Saxena, Adv. for R1/DDA Mr.
Shiven Varma (Panel Counsel, GNCTD), Mr. Rudraksh Mathur, Adv. for
R3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

% 11.05.2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 read with Article 227 of

the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:- A. Issue a writ of mandamus directing respondent nos.1 to 3 to forthwith and within a timebound schedule implement the

order dated 24.02.2020 passed in W.P.(C) 4205/2018 titled

Jagpal Singh Yadav vs Delhi Development Authority & Ors. and to remove all encroachments found on public land comprised in Khasra No. 95/2, Village Sayed Nangloi, Delhi, after carrying out such demarcation as may be necessary in a coordinated manner; B. Declare that the judgment and decree dated 30.11.2007 in Suit No.971/2006 is confined strictly to Khasra No. 95/1 admeasuring 50 sq. yds. and does not confer any protection,

right, or immunity whatsoever in respect of Khasra No. 95/2 or any other part of the acquired public land; C. Direct respondent nos. 1 to 3 to obtain and provide adequate police assistance, if required, for demarcation, sealing, demolition, removal, and restoration of possession of the public land to respondent no.1/ DDA, so that the order of this Honble Court is implemented in a meaningful and effective manner; D.

Direct police assistance and registration of appropriate proceedings against respondent no.4/ Krishan Pal for illegal occupation of public land comprised in Khasra No. 95/2, Village Sayed Nangloi, Delhi; E. Direct respondent nos.1 to 3 to place before this Honble Court a specific action taken report identifying the officers/

officials responsible for the non-implementation of the order

dated 24.02.2020, including the failure of the demarcation exercise fixed for 01.08.2025 and the non-execution of the demolition programme fixed for 08.10.2025. F. Direct initiation of departmental/ disciplinary proceedings against the erring officials respondent nos.1 to 3 found responsible for deliberate delay, non-cooperation, suppression, or dereliction of duty in relation to the implementation of the order dated 24.02.2020. G. Direct restoration of the public land comprised in Khasra No. 95/2, Village Sayed Nangloi, Delhi to its sanctioned public purpose, namely construction of a Multi-Purpose Community

Hall; H. Dismiss the civil suit bearing no. Civ DJ 992/2025 titled Kanwar Singh Yadav vs DDA & Anr. pending before the court of District Judge-10, West District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi,

thereby declaring that the same is in derogation of the order

dated 24.02.2020 passed by this Honble Court in W.P.(C) Authority & Ors. . . .

2. For the reasons stated in the petition, issue notice.

3. Ms. Sen, learned standing counsel accept notice on behalf of the respondent/DDA and Mr. Varma, learned panel counsel accept notice on behalf of respondent No. 3.

4. Ms. Sen, learned standing counsel for the DDA, states that the respondent is bound to implement the order dated 24.02.2020 passed by this Court but in a Suit bearing No. 971/2006, there is an interim

order passed by the District Court and hence, there is an apparent

overlap.

5. Mr. Jain, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that the order dated 24.02.2020 has not been well appreciated by the learned District Judge.

6. I am of the view that the Court in this writ petition cannot modify the

order passed by a Competent Court of jurisdiction.

7. However, the parties shall be at liberty to bring to the notice of the learned District Judge the order dated 24.02.2020 passed in W.P.(C) Authority & Ors..

8. With these directions, the present petition is disposed of, along with pending applications, if any. JASMEET SINGH, J MAY 11, 2026 / (MS)

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com