

Rahul Dev vs State (Nct of Delhi)

Rahul Dev vs State (Nct of Delhi)

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1260354

Court : Delhi

Decided On : Mar-30-2026

Judge : Hon'Ble Mr. Justice Prateek Jalan

Appeal No. : W.P.(CRL)/1010/2026

Appellant : Rahul Dev

Respondent : State (Nct of Delhi)

Judgement :

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(CRL) 1010/2026, CRL.M.A. 9512/2026 RAHUL DEV
.....Petitioner Through: Mr.Shannu Baghel, Advocate

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)Respondent Through: Mr.Sanjeev Bhandari,
ASC for State with SI Shubham PS Maurya Enclave

CORAM:

HONBLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% 30.03.2026 Crl.M.A. No. 9512/2026 (Exemption) Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 1010/2026

1. The petitioner is presently lodged in Central Jail No. 2, Tihar, New

Delhi, in connection with FIR No. 303/2009 registered at Police Station Maurya Enclave for offences under Sections 302 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. He was convicted by the learned Sessions Court vide

judgment dated 29.08.2014 and, by order on sentence dated 30.08.2014,

was sentenced to rigorous imprisonment for life.

2. The petitioners appeal against the aforesaid judgment of

conviction and order on sentence, before this Court, being Criminal Appeal No. 229/2015, was dismissed vide judgment dated 31.05.2017.

W.P.(CRL) 1010/2026 Page 1 of 2

His Special Leave Petition was also dismissed by the Supreme Court vide

order dated 03.12.2018 in SLP (Crl.)_D.No. 43231/2018.

3. Having undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years and one

month, the petitioner has applied for premature release. The present writ petition has been filed seeking suspension of sentence until a final decision is taken by the Sentence Review Board.

4. Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, learned Additional Standing Counsel

appearing for the State, submits that the petitioners case for premature release will be placed before the Sentence Review Board in its next meeting and will be decided in accordance with law. In view of the aforesaid submission, Mr. Shannu Baghel, learned counsel for the petitioner, does not press the present petition at this stage.

5. The petition is accordingly disposed of, binding the respondent to

the submissions recorded before this Court.

6. The rights and remedies of the petitioner remain reserved.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MARCH 30, 2026

sv/JM/

W.P.(CRL) 1010/2026 Page 2 of 2

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com