

**Munit Dinker and Ors vs Union of India and Ors**

**Munit Dinker and Ors vs Union of India and Ors**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/1255633](http://sooperkanoon.com/1255633)

**Court :** Delhi

**Decided On :** Feb-18-2026

**Judge :** Hon'Ble Mr. Justice V. Kameswar Rao, Hon'Ble Ms. Justice Manmeet Pritam Singh Arora

**Appeal No. :** W.P.(C)/1548/2026

**Appellant :** Munit Dinker and Ors

**Respondent :** Union of India and Ors

**Advocate for Def. :** Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy, Mr. Ankit Khatri, Ms. Usha Jamnal, Ms. Nyasa Sharma

**Advocate for Pet/Ap. :** Mr. Ankur Chhibber

**Judgement :**

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 1548/2026

MUNIT DINKER AND ORS .....Petitioner Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber,  
Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS .....Respondent Through: Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy, CGSC, Mr. Ankit Khatri, Ms. Usha Jamnal, Ms. Nyasa Sharma, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

## **ORDER**

% 18.02.2026

1. This petition has been filed with the following prayers:

(i) Issue a Writ of Certiorari for quashing of the order dated 17.10.2025 issued by the Respondents wherein the Petitioners have been denied the benefit of Non-functional Upgradation in the grade pay of Rs.54001- in an arbitrary and unfair manner; and

(ii) Issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents to

grant the benefit of Non-Functional Upgradation in the Grade Pay of Rs.5400 in Pay Band-3w.e.f. the date of them being eligible as granted by this Hon'ble Court vide judgment dated 09.09.2024 in W.P.(C) NO.690/2022 affirmed by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court vide order dated 17.04.2025 and the judgment

of this Hon'ble Court in W.P.(C) No.886/2020 dated 14.10.2024 and Judgment dated 06.09.2010 in W.P.(C)No.13225/2010 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras as upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.8883/20 II vide order dated 10.10.2017 along with

arrears, and all other consequential benefits; and

(iii) Pass any such orders as the Hon 'ble Court may deem fit in

the light of above mentioned facts and circumstances of the case.

2. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the respondents sought

time to take instructions. Today, she says she has instructions to contend that the petition shall be considered as a representation on behalf of the 417 petitioners to be decided, keeping in view the judgments as referred to by the petitioners in this petition.

3. Noting the submissions, a reasoned and detailed order shall be passed

by the respondents by considering the grounds and the documents annexed with the petition, within twelve weeks from today, as an outer limit.

4. If the petitioners have any grievance, they shall be at liberty to

approach the Court in accordance with law.

5. We make it clear, if the petitioners are found to be eligible for grant of

claim as made in the petition, the benefits thereof, shall be paid to them within four weeks of the passing of the order.

6. The pending application, if any is also disposed of, having become

infructuous.

**V. KAMESWAR RAO, J**

**MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J**

FEBRUARY 18, 2026/rt

**SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - [sooperkanoon.com](http://sooperkanoon.com)**