

Dr. Aaquib vs.state & Ors.

Dr. Aaquib vs.state & Ors.

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1224177

Court : Delhi

Decided On : Jul-23-2019

Appellant : Dr. Aaquib

Respondent : State & Ors.

Judgement :

* + IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI Date of Order: July 23, 2019
CRL.M.C. 3523/2019 & CRL.M.A.31692/2019 DR. AAQUIB Through: Mr. M.K.
Mishra, Advocate

... Petitioner

Versus STATE & ORS. Through: Mr. Izhar Ahmad, AdditionalRespondents
Public Prosecutor for State with SI Desh Kumar Singh. Mr. Saurabh Jhamb & Mr.
Rajesh Kumar, Advocates with Respondent No.2 in person. CORAM: HON'BLE
MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

(ORAL) Quashing of FIR No.686/2015, under Sections 341/354-A/354-D of IPC, registered at Police Station GTB Enclave, Delhi is sought on the basis of affidavit of 14th May, 2019 of respondent No.2 and on the ground that the misunderstanding which led to registration of the FIR in question, now stands cleared between the parties. Upon notice, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent- State submits that respondents No.2, who is present in Court, is the complainant of FIR in question and she has been identified to be so, by SI Desh

... RESPONDENTS

No.2, present in the Court submits that the misunderstanding between the parties has been amicably resolved. She affirms the contents of her affidavit of 14th May, 2019 and submits that the misunderstanding, which led to registration of the FIR in question, now stands cleared between the parties and now, no grievance against petitioner survives and so, to restore cordiality between the parties, who are colleagues, proceedings arising out of the FIR in question be brought to an end. Supreme Court in *Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Vs. State of Gujarat* (2017) 9 SCC641 has reiterated the parameters for exercising inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR / criminal proceedings, which are as under:-

"16.7. As distinguished from serious offences, there may be criminal cases which have an overwhelming or predominant element of a civil dispute. They stand on a distinct footing insofar as the exercise of the inherent power to quash is concerned. 16.8. Criminal cases involving offences which arise from commercial, financial, mercantile, partnership or similar transactions with an essentially civil flavour may in appropriate situations fall for quashing where parties have settled the dispute. 16.9. In such a case, the High Court may quash the criminal proceeding if in view of the compromise between the disputants, the possibility of a conviction is remote and the continuation of a criminal proceeding would cause oppression and prejudice. In the facts and circumstances of this case, I find that continuance of proceedings arising out of the FIR in question would be an exercise in Crl.M.C. 3523/2019 Page 2 of 3 futility as the misunderstanding, which led to registration of the FIR in question, now stands cleared amongst the parties. Consequentially, this petition is allowed subject to costs of 10,000/- to be deposited by petitioner with Prime Ministers National Relief Fund within a week from today. Upon placing on record the proof of deposit of costs within a week thereafter and handing over its copy to the Investigating Officer, FIR No.686/2015, under Sections 341/354- A/354-D of IPC, registered at Police Station GTB Enclave, Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom shall stand quashed qua

petitioner. This petition and application are accordingly disposed of. Dasti. (SUNIL GAUR) JUDGE JULY23 2019 pma Crl.M.C. 3523/2019 Page 3 of 3

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com