

Satish Kumar Garg vs.state

Satish Kumar Garg vs.state

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1221460

Court : Delhi

Decided On : Feb-15-2019

Appellant : Satish Kumar Garg

Respondent : State

Judgement :

\$~40 & 41 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI Judgment delivered on:

15. 02.2019 % + BAIL APPLN. 393/2019 DEVENDER GARG versus STATE

... Petitioner

..... Respondent

... Petitioner

..... Respondent + BAIL APPLN. 394/2019 SATISH KUMAR GARG STATE versus
Advocates who appeared in this case: For the

... Petitioner

: Mr. Kunal Madan with Ms. Ritu Kumari, Advocates. For the Respondent: Mr. Hirein Sharma, APP for the State. SI Sukhbir Singh, PS Keshav Puram. ASI Karam Vir Singh, PS North Rohini. CORAM:-

"HONBLE MR JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA JUDGMENT SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J.

(ORAL) CrI.M.A.3466/2019 (exemption) in BAIL APPLN. 393/2019 CrI.M.A.3467/2019 (exemption) in BAIL APPLN. 394/2019 Exemptions are allowed subject to all just exceptions. BAIL APPLN. 393/2019 & BAIL APPLN. 394/2019
Page 1 of 3 BAIL APPLN. 393/2019 & BAIL APPLN. 394/2019 1.

... Petitioner

s seek anticipatory transit bail in FIR No.15/2019 under Sections IPC, Police Station Khairtal Jila, Alwar, Rajasthan.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have received notice dated 27.01.2019 under Section 41(A)(1) Cr.P.C. from Police Station Khairtal Jila asking them to join investigation consequent to a complaint filed against them.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already filed a complaint against their neighbours for having impersonated and cheated several people in their names. He submits that the said complaint was made on 11.01.2019 much prior to the receipt of summons from Police Station Khairtal Jila.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are the permanent resident of Delhi and there is an apprehension of their arrest in Delhi within the territorial jurisdiction of this Court. He submits that the notice dated 27.01.2019 also indicates that a police team had come for the purposes of their arrest.

5. 6. Issue notice. Notice is accepted by learned APP for the State. Learned APP for the State, under instructions, submits that no notice has been received from the Police at Alwar for arrest of the BAIL APPLN. 393/2019 & BAIL APPLN. 394/2019
Page 2 of 3 petitioners.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case and in view of the judgment of a coordinate Bench of this Court in Capt. Satish Kumar Sharma vs. Delhi Administration & Ors.:(1990) ILR1(Delhi) 203, the petitioners are granted transit anticipatory bail for a period of 10 days from today to enable them to approach the concerned Court at Alwar, Rajasthan.

8. It is clarified that this order shall elapse after the expiry of 10 days from today.

9. Petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

10. Order Dasti under the signatures of the Court Master. SANJEEV SACHDEVA,
J FEBRUARY15 2019 st BAIL APPLN. 393/2019 & BAIL APPLN. 394/2019 Page
3 of 3

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com