

Ravi Sharma vs.state & Anr

Ravi Sharma vs.state & Anr

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1216549

Court : Delhi

Decided On : Jul-30-2018

Appellant : Ravi Sharma

Respondent : State & Anr

Judgement :

\$~81 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI % + CRL.M.C.
3756/2018 & Crl. M.A. 28820/2018 Judgment delivered on:

30. 07.2018 RAVI SHARMA STATE & ANR versus

... Petitioner

.....

... RESPONDENTS

Advocates who appeared in this case: Mr. P.N. Sharma & Mr. Tanuj Sharma,
Advocate . For the

... Petitioner

: For the Respondent: CORAM:-

"HONBLE MR JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA Mr. Raghuvinder Verma, Addl.
PP for the State with SI Ramesh Kumar JUDGMENT3007.2018 SANJEEV
SACHDEVA, J.

(ORAL) 1. The petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.496 of 2016 under Sections 506/509/498A/3

of the IPC read with Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act Police Station Sultan Puri, New Delhi, based on a settlement. It is contended that the FIR was lodged consequent to a matrimonial discord.

2. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their disputes and reconciled their differences.

... Petitioner

No.1 and CRL.M.C. 3756/2018 Page 1 of 2 respondent No.2/complainant have started residing together amicably.

3. Respondent No.2 who is present in court in person and is identified by the Investigating Officer. She confirms that she is living together with the petitioner happily and has no complaint against the petitioner. Respondent No.2 further submits that she has settled the dispute with the petitioners and is agreeable to the settlement and does not wish to press the criminal charges against the petitioners any further.

4. In view of the fact that the disputes between the petitioners and respondent No.2 emanate out of a matrimonial discord and have been settled and they have started living together, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to an end and peace is restored; securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor. It would be expedient to quash the subject FIR and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed. FIR No.496 of 2016 under Sections 506/509/498A/3

of the IPC read with Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act Police Station Sultan Puri, New Delhi and the consequent proceedings emanating there from are, accordingly quashed.

6. Order Dasti under signatures of the Court Master. JULY30 2018 rs CRL.M.C.
3756/2018 SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J Page 2 of 2

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com