

Manish Kumar vs.bureau of Indian Standards & Anr

Manish Kumar vs.bureau of Indian Standards & Anr

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1211229

Court : Delhi

Decided On : Dec-07-2017

Appellant : Manish Kumar

Respondent : Bureau of Indian Standards & Anr

Judgement :

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI Date of Order: December 07, 2017 + W.P.(C) 440/2016 & C.M.1751/2016 MANISH KUMAR AND + W.P.(C) 444/2016 & C.M.1758/2016 ROSY DHAWAN Through: Mr. Ajit Warriar, Advocate versus BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS & ANRRespondentsPetitioners Through: Mr. B.K. Sood and Mr.Harish Gaur, Advocates for respondent No.1 Mr. Dev P. Bhardwaj, CGSC for respondent- UOI CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR1 In ORDER (ORAL) the above-captioned petitions, the challenge is to two Communications of 4th January, 2016 (Annexure P-1) vide which petitioner-Manish Kumar has been transferred from HRBO, Chandigarh to EROL, Kolkata and petitioner-Rosy Dhawan has been transferred from P&C Headquarters-New Delhi, to Head (RJBO), Rajkot, Gujarat.

2. While entertaining these petitions, it was directed that status quo in respect of impugned Communications be maintained.

3. Since the grounds to challenge the impugned communications in the above captioned two petitions are on similar lines, therefore, both W.Ps.(C) 440/2016 & 444/2016 Page 1 of 2 these petitions are taken up for hearing together and are

being disposed of by this common order.

4. Learned counsel for first respondent submits that in light of the stand taken by petitioners in these two petitions, impugned Communications shall be re-considered.

5. In view of the aforesaid, both these petitions are disposed of with direction to first respondent to treat these petitions as Representations and to provide an opportunity of hearing to petitioners and thereafter, reconsider the impugned Communications (Annexure P-1) and if it is not so done, then the reasons for not doing so, be spelt out by first respondent within eight weeks and within two weeks thereafter, the outcome of these Representations be conveyed to petitioners, so that they may avail of the remedies as available in law, if need be. In the facts and circumstances of this case, till the outcome of the Representations is conveyed to petitioners, status quo in respect of impugned Communications, as ordered on 15th March, 2016, be maintained.

6. With aforesaid directions, both the petitions and the applications are disposed of.

7. Copy of this order be given dasti to counsels for both the sides. (SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE DECEMBER07 2017 s W.Ps.(C) 440/2016 & 444/2016 Page 2 of 2

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com