

Punit Sharma vs.anil Kumar and Ors

Punit Sharma vs.anil Kumar and Ors

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1210932

Court : Delhi

Decided On : Nov-28-2017

Appellant : Punit Sharma

Respondent : Anil Kumar and Ors

Judgement :

§~R-578 IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI Decided on:

28. h November, 2017 + MAC. APPEAL No.922/2012 PUNIT SHARMA Appellant Through: None. versus ..Respondents Through: None. ANIL KUMAR AND ORS CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA JUDGMENT (ORAL) 1. The appellant was the claimant before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal in accident claim case (MACT Suit No.125/10) instituted on 14.02.2008, whereby he had sought compensation under Sections 166 and 140 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 for injuries suffered by him in a motor vehicular accident that had occurred on 16.04.2007.

2. The Tribunal held inquiry and, on the basis of evidence led, accepted the said claim and awarded compensation in the sum of Rs.1,17,000/-, this inclusive of Rs.70,000/- for expenditure on treatment, Rs.10,000/- for pain and suffering, Rs.5,000/- for special diet and conveyance, Rs.8,000/- for attendant charges and Rs.24,000/- for loss of income. MAC App. No.922/2012 Page 1 of 2 3. The present appeal was filed with the grievance that the compensation awarded is inadequate. It was put in the list of Regulars as per order dated 23.03.2016. When the matter is

called out in its turn, there is no appearance on either side.

4. A perusal of the memo of appeal reveals that the only specific grievance raised is with regard to the award being inadequate under the head of attendant charges. In the given facts and circumstances, where there is no case made out of any permanent disability, the plea cannot be accepted. The award, as granted by the Tribunal, is found to be just and adequate.

5. The appeal is dismissed. NOVEMBER28 2017 srb R.K.GAUBA, J.

MAC App. No.922/2012 Page 2 of 2

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com